## Priors for Bayesian Deep Learning

#### Maurizio Filippone

Department of Data Science, EURECOM August 30<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Motivation

Bayesian Deep Learning

Some Emerging Trends in Bayesian Deep Learning

Ongoing Work

## **Motivation**

Decision-making is a critical step in several domains [Norvig and Russell, 1995]:

- Policy-making for the environment
- Healthcare
- Society
- • • •

Decision-making is a critical step in several domains [Norvig and Russell, 1995]:

- Policy-making for the environment
- Healthcare
- Society
- • • •

Decision Theory = Probabilistic reasoning + Utility theory

#### Learning from Data – Function Estimation

Consider these two examples



- We are interested in estimating a function f(x) from data
- Many problems in Statistics/Machine Learning can be cast this way!

#### **Deep Neural Networks**

Implement a composition of parametric functions

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}^{(L)} \left( \mathbf{f}^{(L-1)} \left( \cdots \mathbf{f}^{(1)} \left( \mathbf{x} \right) \cdots \right) \right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}^{(l)}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(l)}\mathbf{h}\right)$$



### **Optimizing Deep Nets**

• Quadratic Loss Minimization (regression case):

$$\hat{\mathsf{W}} = rg\min_{\mathsf{W}} \sum_i \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i)\|^2 + ext{regularization}$$



#### **Over-confidence of Deep Learning Models**



"What we know is that the vehicle was on a divided highway with Autopilot engaged when a tractor trailer drove across the highway perpendicular to the Model S. Neither Autopilot nor the driver noticed the white side of the tractor trailer against a brightly lit sky, so the brake was not applied. The high ride height of the trailer combined with its positioning across the road and the extremely rare circumstances of the impact caused the Model S to pass under the trailer, with the bottom of the trailer impacting the windshield of the Model S."

#### **Over-confidence of Deep Learning Models**

# Uber suspends self-driving car testing after cyclist is killed

The company says it is "fully co-operating with local authorities in their investigation of this incident" and offers condolences.

③ Tuesday 20 March 2018 05:07, UK



Damage on the front of the self-driving car



T Why you can trust Sky News >

Uber has suspended testing of its self-driving cars after one struck and killed a female cyclist in Phoenix.



#### **Over-confidence of Deep Learning Models - Online Meme**

Image prediction: ping-pong ball Confidence: 99.99%



Illustration: Dianna "Mick" McDougall, Photo: ResNeXtGuesser

#### **Over-confidence of Deep Learning Models - Online Meme**

Image prediction: pineapple Confidence: 99.3%



Illustration: Dianna "Mick" McDougall, Photo: ResNeXtGuesser

## **Bayesian Deep Learning**

#### Back-propagation – Probabilistic Interpretation Loss

- Inputs :  $\mathbf{X} = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N}$
- Labels :  $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$
- Weights :  $\mathbf{W} = {\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{W}^{(L)}}$



- Back-propagation minimizes a loss function
- ... equivalent as optimizing likelihood p(Y|X, W)

#### **Bayesian Inference**

- Inputs :  $\mathbf{X} = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N}$
- Labels :  $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$
- Weights :  $W = \{W^{(1)}, \dots, W^{(L)}\}$



$$p(\mathbf{W}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{W})p(\mathbf{W})}{\int p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{W})p(\mathbf{W})d\mathbf{W}}$$

• Predictions consider an infinite number of parameter configurations

$$p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{x}^*,\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{X}) = \int p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{x}^*,\mathbf{W})p(\mathbf{W}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{X})d\mathbf{W}$$



- Bayesian Deep Nets have been though about since the nineties [MacKay, 1992]
- Deep Nets as Gaussian processes [Neal, 1996]

- Bayesian Deep Nets have been though about since the nineties [MacKay, 1992]
- Deep Nets as Gaussian processes [Neal, 1996]
- Mini-Batch variational inference for Deep Nets [Graves, 2011]
- Mini-Batch MCMC sampling [Chen et al., 2014]
- TensorFlow is released [Abadi et al., 2016]

- Bayesian Deep Nets have been though about since the nineties [MacKay, 1992]
- Deep Nets as Gaussian processes [Neal, 1996]
- Mini-Batch variational inference for Deep Nets [Graves, 2011]
- Mini-Batch MCMC sampling [Chen et al., 2014]
- TensorFlow is released [Abadi et al., 2016]
- Dropout as Variational Inference [Gal and Ghahramani, 2016]

First ever practical approach for approximate Bayesian Conv Nets

- Bayesian Deep Nets have been though about since the nineties [MacKay, 1992]
- Deep Nets as Gaussian processes [Neal, 1996]
- Mini-Batch variational inference for Deep Nets [Graves, 2011]
- Mini-Batch MCMC sampling [Chen et al., 2014]
- TensorFlow is released [Abadi et al., 2016]
- Dropout as Variational Inference [Gal and Ghahramani, 2016]

First ever practical approach for approximate Bayesian Conv Nets

First workshop on Bayesian Deep Learning at NeurIPS 2016

• Urban legend: Slow and cumbersome to tune/implement compared to optimization

- Urban legend: Slow and cumbersome to tune/implement compared to optimization
- Predictive performance is usually worse than non-Bayesian solutions
  - People started questioning the optimality of Bayesian principles



- Urban legend: Slow and cumbersome to tune/implement compared to optimization
- Predictive performance is usually worse than non-Bayesian solutions
  - People started questioning the optimality of Bayesian principles 99
  - Literature flooded with alternative approaches
  - Improvements to Variational Inference for deep models [Rossi et al., ICML 2019, NeurIPS 2020]

- Urban legend: Slow and cumbersome to tune/implement compared to optimization
- Predictive performance is usually worse than non-Bayesian solutions
  - People started questioning the optimality of Bayesian principles  ${\color{black} \mathfrak{W}}$
  - Literature flooded with alternative approaches
  - Improvements to Variational Inference for deep models [Rossi et al., ICML 2019, NeurIPS 2020]
- The problem of choosing sensible priors has been overlooked!



Specifying a sensible prior for Bayesian neural networks (BNNs) is difficult!



Specifying a sensible prior for Bayesian neural networks (BNNs) is difficult!

- Neural networks are extremely high-dimensional and nonidentifiable.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Reasoning about parameters is very challenging.



Specifying a sensible prior for Bayesian neural networks (BNNs) is difficult!

- Neural networks are extremely high-dimensional and nonidentifiable.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Reasoning about parameters is very challenging.
- Most work has resorted to priors of convenience.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Gaussian priors such as  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(0,1/D_{l-1})$  are the most popular priors for BNN.

The prior on the parameters of a BNN induces an *unpredictable prior over functions*.

$$p(\mathbf{f}) = \int p(\mathbf{f} \,|\, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w}$$

Some Emerging Trends in Bayesian Deep Learning

#### **Gaussian Process Priors**

- Gaussian Processes (GPs) are a useful tool for choosing *sensible priors* on *functions we intend to model*.
- A popular covariance function is the radial basis function (RBF):

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{\alpha},l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{\alpha}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'\|_2^2}{l^2}\right).$$

How to impose functional priors on BNNs exhibit interpretable properties, similar to GPs?



How to impose functional priors on BNNs exhibit interpretable properties, similar to GPs?



This is a challenging task!

- We aim at matching two stochastic processes  $\rightarrow$  infinite-dimensional distributions.
- We don't know closed-form of the density of BNNs.

How to impose functional priors on BNNs exhibit interpretable properties, similar to GPs?



This is a challenging task!

- We aim at matching two stochastic processes  $\rightarrow$  infinite-dimensional distributions.
- We don't know closed-form of the density of BNNs.
  - Minimize the KL divergence between BNN and GP priors.

$$\mathsf{KL}\left[p_{nn} \parallel p_{gp}\right] = -\int p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi) \log p_{gp}(\mathbf{f}) d\mathbf{f} + \underbrace{\int p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi) \log p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi) d\mathbf{f}}_{\mathsf{Entropy-intractable!}}.$$

#### Definition

Given a measurable space  $\Omega$ , the Kantorovich dual form of the 1-Wasserstein distance between two Borel's probability measures  $\pi$  and  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\pi, 
u) = \sup_{\|\phi\|_L \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\phi(\mathbf{x})] - \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[\phi(\mathbf{x})],$$

where  $\phi$  is a 1-Lipschitz function.

#### Definition

Given a measurable space  $\Omega$ , the Kantorovich dual form of the 1-Wasserstein distance between two Borel's probability measures  $\pi$  and  $\nu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is

$$W_1(\pi, 
u) = \sup_{\|\phi\|_L \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\phi(\mathbf{x})] - \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[\phi(\mathbf{x})],$$

where  $\phi$  is a 1-Lipschitz function.

 $\checkmark$  No need to know the closed-form of  $\pi$  and  $\nu$  as we can estimate expectations with samples.

 $\checkmark$  The 1-Lipschitz function  $\phi$  can be parameterized by a neural network.

- Minimize the 1-Wasserstein distance between the BNN functional prior and a GP prior
- ✓ The objective is *fully sampled-based*!

- Minimize the 1-Wasserstein distance between the BNN functional prior and a GP prior
- ✓ The objective is *fully sampled-based*!
  - $\longrightarrow$  Not necessary to know the closed-form of the marginal density  $p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi)$ .

- Minimize the 1-Wasserstein distance between the BNN functional prior and a GP prior
- ✓ The objective is *fully sampled-based*!
  - $\longrightarrow$  Not necessary to know the closed-form of the marginal density  $p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi)$ .
  - $\longrightarrow$  Can consider any stochastic process as a target prior over functions.

- Minimize the 1-Wasserstein distance between the BNN functional prior and a GP prior
- ✓ The objective is *fully sampled-based*!
  - $\longrightarrow$  Not necessary to know the closed-form of the marginal density  $p_{nn}(\mathbf{f}; \psi)$ .
  - $\longrightarrow$  Can consider any stochastic process as a target prior over functions.
- $\checkmark$  The objective can be optimized with gradient descent algorithms with back-propagation.













#### Bayesian Convolutional Neural Networks - CIFAR-10

Architecture	Method	Accuracy - % ( $\uparrow$ )	NLL $(\downarrow)$
VGG16	Deep Ensemble	$81.96 \pm \textbf{0.33}$	$0.7759 \ \pm \ \textbf{0.0033}$
	Fixed Gauss. prior	$81.47 \pm \textbf{0.33}$	$0.5808 \pm 0.0033$
	Fixed Gauss. prior + Temp. Scaling	$82.25 \pm 0.15$	$0.5398 \ \pm \ 0.0015$
	GPi Gauss. prior (ours)	$83.34 \pm \textbf{0.53}$	$0.5176 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.0053$
	Fixed Hierar. prior	$86.03 \pm 0.20$	$0.4345 \pm 0.0020$
	GPi Hierar. prior (ours)	$\textbf{87.03}~\pm~\textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{0.4127}~\pm~0.0007$
PRERESNET20	Deep Ensemble	$87.77 \pm 0.03$	$0.3927 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.0003$
	Fixed Gauss. prior	$85.34 \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$0.4975 \ \pm \ 0.0013$
	Fixed Gauss. prior + Temp. Scaling	$87.70 \ \pm \ 0.11$	$0.3956 \ \pm \ \textbf{0.0011}$
	GPi Gauss. prior (ours)	$86.86 \pm 0.27$	$0.4286 \pm \textbf{0.0027}$
	Fixed Hierar. prior	$87.26~\pm 0.09$	$0.4086~\pm 0.0009$
	GPi Hierar. prior ( <b>ours</b> )	$88.20 \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$\textbf{0.3808}~\pm~\textbf{0.0007}$

#### Autoencoders



• An autoencoder (AE) is a neural network used for *unsupervised learning* 

#### Autoencoders



- An autoencoder (AE) is a neural network used for unsupervised learning
- Encoder: transforms an unlabelled dataset,  $\mathbf{x} := {\mathbf{x}_n}_n^N$ , into latent codes,  $\mathbf{z} := {\mathbf{z}_n}_n^N$
- Decoder: transforms latent codes into reconstructions,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} := {\{ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n \}}_n^N$

#### Autoencoders



- An autoencoder (AE) is a neural network used for unsupervised learning
- Encoder: transforms an unlabelled dataset,  $\mathbf{x} := {\mathbf{x}_n}_n^N$ , into latent codes,  $\mathbf{z} := {\mathbf{z}_n}_n^N$
- Decoder: transforms latent codes into reconstructions,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} := {\{ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n \}}_n^N$
- We can do Bayesian Autoencoders! [Tran et al., NeurIPS, 2021]

#### **Bayesian Autoencoders**



✓ Breaking away from Variational Autoencoders – separating modeling from inference

#### **Bayesian Autoencoders**



✓ Breaking away from Variational Autoencoders – separating modeling from inference

X Lack of generative modeling – Easy to bypass by modeling distribution of the latent codes

#### **Experiments on CelebA Dataset**







25 / 29

# **Ongoing Work**

### **Ongoing Work**

Bayesian Deep Learning and Physics

- Emulation
- Physics-based priors
- Tackling identifiability issues of Bayesian calibration



[Lorenzi and Filippone, ICML 2018 – Marmin and Filippone, Bayesian Analysis 2022]

### **Ongoing Work**

Structured priors for Bayesian Autoencoders

- Beyond Score-based Diffusion Models
- Interpretability
- Causality



[Tran et al., ICML 2023]

Applications to problems and where decision-making matters

- Environment and Sustainability
- Life Sciences

Thank you!

**Questions?**