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- Academic
  - Assistant Professor at Eurecom (Nice area, France)
  - PhD @ UC Santa Barbara

- Research focus: Mobile Systems Security & Privacy

- Hacking / Capture The Flag teams
  - Shellphish (UCSB), NOPS (Eurecom)
  - The Order of the Overflow (DEFCON CTF organizers) ← bad idea
MOBISEC class

- Recently released all material for my Mobile Security class

- [https://mobisec.reyammer.io](https://mobisec.reyammer.io)

- Material
  - 800+ slides on the topic
  - Coming next week: wargame site dedicated to mobile security
    - Reversing challenges / exploitation challenges
Today's talk: Android UI Security

- UI security **matters**

- UI attacks are **real**
  - They exist
  - They are practical
  - They are difficult to eradicate (some of them: open research problem)
Primer on Android security

- Users can install third-party apps

- Third-party apps are, by default, **sandboxed**
  - Apps have private storage
  - Their capabilities are monitored via the permission system
  - Interaction only through well-defined IPC mechanisms

- Very different than usual PC / laptops!
  - If an attacker gets code execution on my laptop, it's game over
  - Not the case for my Android phone!
Many low-level security mechanisms

- Many efforts to
  - reduce attack surface
  - tighter adherence to principle of least privilege
  - exploit mitigation techniques
  - permission system refinement
  - new permission policies (e.g., clipboard access only for foreground apps)
  - SELinux policies / contexts
  - limited access to /proc & co.

- Great talk by Nick Kralevich @ BHUSA'17 on Google's work to shrink the attack surface
UI security matters

- **UI attacks can bypass many low-level mechanisms**

- Android's Achilles' heel
  - Apps have full control of your screen
  - Apps can do UI "tricks"
  - Not well understood

- Lack of Trusted UI prevents using mobile devices to control security-critical systems, medical devices, E-IDs, ...
What is a UI attack?
- An attack involving UI that somehow affects the CIA triad
- User deception

Focus on "imperceptible attacks"
- Even a security expert cannot notice an attack is going on...
- ... even if I tell you that you are under attack!

Example of non-imperceptible attack
- Web phishing: a user can always check "the green lock" + domain name
Two big classes

- Clickjacking
  - Attacker lures the user to “click” somewhere
  - Usual goal: privilege escalation / confused deputy

- Phishing
  - Attacker lures the user to insert her credentials / private data somewhere
    (and leak them to the attacker)

- But there are some other twists & tricks to abuse password managers, instant apps, ...
Clickjacking 101
“Draw on top” permission

- Draw arbitrary windows/overlays on top of the screen
  - Can be completely custom: shape, content, transparency, position
  - Can be clickable \(\oplus\) passthrough

- This permission is used quite often
  - 454 out of 4,455 top apps (10.2%)
  - Used by Facebook, Skype, Uber, LastPass, ...

- Automatically granted to apps from the Play Store*
  - *NOTE: it is possible that this will change soon -- I've heard rumors ;-)
Multi-step clickjacking (?)

- Multi-step clickjacking: some attacks require 2+ clicks

- Challenges
  - When to transition to the next stage?
  - What if the user clicks “somewhere else”??
  - Tricky because the first click lands, by definition, on the *victim* app
    - The malicious app is not notified about clicks landing elsewhere
    - Exception: FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH flag, but the click’s coordinates are set to (0,0) if click lands on another app
      - Where did the user clicked?
      - Wheeereeeeeeee?
Attack: Context-aware Clickjacking

- So, the attacker does not know the coords of clicks landing outside its malicious app...

- But what if there is only “one way” for a click to not reach the malicious app?
Multi-step Clickjacking

Clicks do **NOT** go through

Clicks go through

- We know the user clicked on the “target” button
- We know we need to transition to the next step
Protection against clickjacking

- Clickjacking attack is old

- Google introduced the “obscured” flag
  - When the user clicks on a widget, FLAG_WINDOW_IS_OBSCURED is set if “an overlay was covering the receiving widget”
  - An app can decide to “not trust” the click

- Another option: `setFilterTouchesWhenObscured()`
Obscured Flag Defense Mechanism

“Because an app is obscuring a permission request, Settings can’t verify your response.”
Obscured Flag Bypass

Context-Hiding Attack

Capture?

Cloak & Dagger
IEEE S&P'17
From “Draw on top” to a11y

- **Android Accessibility Service (a11y)**
  - In theory: mechanism for apps to assist users with disabilities
  - In practice: super powerful mechanism abused by benign/malicious apps

- **“Features”**
  - App is notified for each UI event
  - App can inject UI events (e.g., clicks)
“Since an event contains the text of its source privacy can be compromised by leaking sensitive information such as passwords. To address this issue any event fired in response to manipulation of a PASSWORD field does NOT CONTAIN the text of the password.”
Attack: a11y on steroids

1) Steal PIN
2) Inject PIN and unlock the phone!

Bonus point: phone unlock while keeping the screen off!
In this tutorial, humans will be represented by green droids, like the one below.
Ransomware Example
“Hide overlays” defense

- Observe your actions
  Receive notifications when you're interacting with an app.
- Retrieve window content
  Inspect the content of a window you're interacting with.
“Hide overlays” defense

- It works!

- I believe it is enough to prevent clickjacking
But...

- ...are these defenses widely deployed?
  - Not really: only system apps can use "hide overlays" trick

- What about the well-known obscured flag? Is it used?

- “A friend told me…”
An Android 6.0-only bug prevents granting permissions when Twilight is on (fixed in Android 7+)
Clickjacking vulns are still widespread
Many more targets
- Google Play Store
- GMail
- Google Authenticator
- Twitter, Facebook
- Google Drive
- Signal, WhatsApp
- Google Chrome
- Lookout Security

Clickjacking is still a widespread problem
Disclosure & Reaction

- Twitter: “After further review, we do not plan to address this at this time due to the UX issues you mention.”

- Signal: “...without an effective mitigation, there doesn’t seem to be anything for us to do here.”

- Facebook, Whatsapp, Instagram: “...right now we consider it accepted risk because of the exploitation requirements...” and “There is a balance between security and UX, and our threat modeling needs to take them in consideration.”
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1 INTRODUCTION

Mobile devices are widely used and become a significant part of our daily lives. They are used in various aspects of our lives, such as communication, entertainment, and work. It is crucial to protect mobile devices from attacks and vulnerabilities to ensure the security and privacy of users.

Many of these attacks rely on user interface tricks, such as clickjacking, to trick users into clicking on links or buttons that they did not intend to click. Clickjacking is a form of phishing that tricks users into clicking on links that have a different appearance from the actual link.

ClickShield is a new approach to addressing clickjacking attacks. It uses a combination of machine learning and user behavior analysis to detect and mitigate clickjacking attacks. ClickShield can be easily integrated into mobile applications, making it a practical solution for protecting users from clickjacking attacks.
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UI security previously not really understood / taken seriously
Back to the “obscured flag”...

- Not only it can be easily bypassed...
Back to the “obscured flag”...

- Not only it can be easily bypassed...

- ... but #1: misleading documentation
“This flag indicates that the window that received this motion event is *partly* or wholly obscured by another visible window above it.”

- This is not the case: if the click does not go through other overlays, the obscured flag does not kick in
- Google knows about it...
This flag indicates that the window that received this motion event is partly or wholly obscured by another visible window above it. Even if the event did not directly pass through the obscured area, this flag is set to true. A security sensitive application can check this flag to identify situations in which a malicious application may have covered up part of its content for the purpose of misleading the user or hijacking touches. An appropriate response might be to drop suspect touches or to take additional precautions to confirm the user's actual intent.

Unlike `FLAG_WINDOW_IS_OBSCURED`, this is actually true.
Back to the “obscured flag”...

- Not only it can be easily bypassed...

- ... but #1: misleading documentation
Back to the “obscured flag”...

- Not only it can be easily bypassed...

- ... but #1: misleading documentation

- ... but #2: it could be abused to mount even worse attacks!
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

- This attack can record all "keystrokes"
  - It only relies on the "draw on top" permission
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

- This attack can record all “keystrokes”
  - It only relies on the “draw on top” permission

- It abuses the “obscured flag” security mechanism
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Overlays are drawn
   - Invisible
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Overlays are drawn
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Where did the user click?

Overlays are drawn
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
- FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH

Overlay #

1  MotionEvent
2  MotionEvent
3  MotionEvent
4  MotionEvent
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Where did the user click?

Overlays are drawn
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
- FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH

The “obscured” flag is set accordingly!

Overlay #
1  MotionEvent Not obscured
2  MotionEvent Not obscured
3  MotionEvent Not obscured
4  MotionEvent Not obscured
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Where did the user click?

Overlays are drawn
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
- FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH

The “obscured” flag is set accordingly!

Overlay #

1  MotionEvent  Obscured
2  MotionEvent  Not obscured
3  MotionEvent  Not obscured
4  MotionEvent  Not obscured
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Where did the user click?

Overlays are drawn
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
- FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH

The “obscured” flag is set accordingly!

Overlay #
1. MotionEvent Obscured
2. MotionEvent Obscured
3. MotionEvent Not obscured
4. MotionEvent Not obscured
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Where did the user click?

Overlays are drawn:
- Invisible
- Clicks passthrough
- FLAG_WATCH_OUTSIDE_TOUCH

The “obscured” flag is set accordingly!

Overlay #
1. MotionEvent Obscured
2. MotionEvent Obscured
3. MotionEvent Obscured
4. MotionEvent Not obscured
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

Security mechanism used as side-channel!

The attacker can use these patterns to infer where the user clicked!
Attack: Invisible Grid Attack

These overlays are drawn invisible during a real attack.
Disclosure of “a11y on steroids”

- Bug marked as “Won’t fix, work as intended” (September 30th)

- Bug marked as “High severity” (October 18th)

- Downgraded to “Won’t fix” because “limiting those services would render the device unusable” (November 28th)

- “We will update the documentation” (May 4th)

- AND THEY DID!!!11!1!
a11y documentation “patch”

- AccessibilityEvent’s “security note” is silently removed
  - [June 6th version](#) vs [current version](#)
a11y documentation “patch”

- AccessibilityEvent’s “security note” is silently removed
  - June 6th version vs current version

- “Patch the documentation, not the code”
a11y documentation “patch”

- AccessibilityEvent’s “security note” is silently removed
  - June 6th version vs current version

- “Patch the documentation, not the code”

- Found a 0day in the docs, still waiting for CVE ;-)
Mobile Phishing
The key problem
Mobile Phishing 101

- `/proc/*`
- `getRunningTask` API
- Access system log
Phishing Attacks on Modern Android
Mobile Password Managers

How can a password manager know that this app is really linked to facebook.com???

This step is trivial for browser password managers, but not on Android...
Three Technologies

- Accessibility Service
- Android Autofill Framework (new in Android 8.0)
- OpenYOLO

In all cases, an app’s package name is the starting point to map app ↔ website!
Package Names Can’t Be Trusted

- Nobody is checking / vetting package names

- No trust relation between “package” and “subpackage”
  - E.g., easy to get an app on the official Play Store with “com.facebook.evil” package name

- The only constraints:
  - No two apps can have the same package name on the Play Store
  - No two apps can have the same package name on an Android device at the same time
Real-World Password Managers
Dashlane

- Heuristic to infer the mapping from the package name
  - It splits the package name in components
    - E.g., “aaa.bbb.ccc” → “aaa”, “bbb”, “ccc”
  - For each component, it checks if at least 3 of its characters are contained in the “website” field of each entry

- “xxx.face.yyy” → ”facebook.com”
- “com.inst.lin.ube” → ”instagram.com”, “linkedin.com”, “uber.com”
LastPass

- Heuristic to infer the mapping from the package name
  - It reverses the package name and check for common suffixes with “website” fields of each entry
    
```
“com.facebook.evil”→”facebook.com”
```

- Crowdsourced mapping
  - Using user-supplied package name ↔ website associations
Keeper

- It takes the package name...
- ... it queries the Play Store...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Updated</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Installs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 17, 2018</td>
<td>Varies with device</td>
<td>1,000,000,000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Version</td>
<td>Requires Android</td>
<td>Content Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varies with device</td>
<td>Varies with device</td>
<td>Teen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Learn More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive Elements</td>
<td>In-app Products</td>
<td>Permissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users Interact, Shares Info, Shares Location, Digital Purchases</td>
<td>$0.99 - $300.99 per item</td>
<td>View details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Offered By</td>
<td>Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag as inappropriate</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>Visit website</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

android-support@fb.com
Privacy Policy
1 Hacker Way Menlo Park, CA 94025
Hidden Fields

- 1x1 pixels
- Foreground color = Background color
- Make fields transparent
- Set “visibility” field to “gone”
Instant Apps

The image shows a mobile phone screen with the app 'Clash Royale' displayed, along with a highlighted button labeled 'TRY NOW'.
Instant Apps Flow

FULL UI CONTROL!!!
End-to-end attack: phishing with few clicks
The Right Way™

- Rely on Digital Asset Links (DAL)

- A website can say “apps signed by this certificate are OK”

- https://www.facebook.com/.well-known/assetlinks.json
Rely on Digital Asset Links (DAL)

A website can say "apps signed by this certificate are OK"

https://www.facebook.com/.well-known/assetlinks.json

The Right Way™

```json
{
  "relation": [
    "delegate_permission/common.get_login_creds"
  ],
  "target": {
    "namespace": "android_app",
    "package_name": "com.facebook.katana",
    "sha256_cert_fingerprints": [
    ]
  }
}
```

Only ~2% of domain names support this
A look at the future
Open problems in mobile UI

- How can I know that I'm interacting with app XYZ?
  - Is it real the facebook app?
- How can the app know that the user intentionally and knowingly clicked on button X?
  - Think about medical devices!
- How can I know that my click has been actually received?
  - If you don't have this guarantee, potential for DOS.
- How can I know that the UI's content is "trusted"?
  - Important for mobile/digital ID (driving licenses, ...)


New API introduced in Android 9.0
- First very big step towards trusted UI
- It shows a system-generated popup asking users for confirmation
  - No clickjacking possibilities here

Security features
- The UI is actually shown/rendered by Trustzone
  - Even a root attacker can't do much
- Trustzone is used to generate an attestation code (via cryptography)
  that encodes "the user has clicked OK + message was XYZ"
- A network backend can verify the attestation code
  - The network backend can be very confident that the user knows about this
UI security is constantly evolving!

- **New API in Android: IdentityCredential API**
  - Support for "secure" mobile driving licenses (and other docs)
  - Once again based on TrustZone + attestation tokens

- **Even the "rules" are changing**
  - "Draw on top" permission automatically granted for Play Store apps?
  - [Rumor] In Android Q: apps can't "pop out" from background?
    - I expect (good) impact on adware and simple phishing attacks
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... and stay tuned for CTF-style mobile reversing challs on https://mobisec.reyammer.io/!