

Full Coded Caching Gains for **Cache-less Users**

Eleftherios Lampiris, Petros Elia

EURECOM, Sophia Antipolis, France lampiris, elia, (@eurecom.fr)



Setting

- 1. K_c Cache-aided users: Cache $\gamma > 0$.
- 2. K_n Cache-less users: No cache.
- 3. **Multiple Antennas:** *L*-MISO Broadcast fully-connected Channel.
- 4. **Content:** Users request files from the same library of N files.

4. Multiply by a precoder to separate all the cacheless and one of the cache-aided users.

$$\mathbf{x}_{k,p_2,\ldots,p_L} = \mathcal{H}_{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_L}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \bigoplus_{k \in \chi} W_{d_k}^{\chi \setminus \{k\}} \\ W_{d_{p_2}}^{\tau} \\ \vdots \\ W_{d_{p_L}}^{\tau} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 2 In the MISO BC with L antennas, K_c cache-aided users, fractional cache size γ , and $K_n \ge (L-1)T_1$ cache-less users, the delivery time

$$T = \frac{(L-1)T_1 + K_c(1-\gamma)}{K_c\gamma + L} + \frac{K_n - (L-1)T_1}{\min\{L, K_n - (L-1)T_1\}}$$

is achievable and within a factor of 2 from optimal, while if $K_n \leq (L-1)T_1(K_c, \gamma)$ then





Key Questions

Coded cache-less users experience • Can Caching performance?

- Cache-less users $(p_2 p_L)$ receive their subfiles via ZF-precoding.
- Cache-aided user p_1 receives the XOR and decodes a la Maddah-Ali - Niesen.
- Remaining $K_c \gamma$ users receive a linear combination of all subfiles. To decode they need to have cached all $K_c \gamma + L - 1$ subfiles.

Combinatorial Matching Challenge

- To achieve decoding, we need to pair the subfile indices with a transmission index.
- This creates a perfect matching in a bipartite graph and is combinatorially hard to solve.
- We solve it in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time using a novel approach.

Matching Example





is achievable and within a factor of 3 from optimal.

Corollary 1 - Cache-less users with Coded Caching Gains

Setting: $K_c, \gamma, K_n \leq (L-1) \frac{K_c(1-\gamma)}{1+K_c\gamma}, L.$ <u>Result:</u> Cache-less users have DoF $K_c\gamma + L$, i.e. experience caching gains. Example: See previous example.

Corollary 2 - Free Cache-less Users

Setting: K_c, γ .

<u>Result:</u> Every time you add an antenna you can serve for free $\frac{K_c(1-\gamma)}{1+K_c\gamma}$ cache-less users. **Example:** $K_c = 300, \gamma = 2/100$

Every new antenna can serve 42 *extra cache-less* users for free.

Corollary 3 - *L*-fold Boost

Setting: $K_c, \gamma, K_n = (L_1 - 1) \frac{K_c(1 - \gamma)}{1 + K_c \gamma}$. *Result:* Going from 1 to $L \leq L_1$ antennas, reduces delay by L times.

Example: $K_c = 101, \gamma = 1/101, K_n = 300$



- Fundamental Obstacle: In the single-antenna setting they can't.
- What are the fundamental limits?
- Can we transmit to both types simultaneously?
- Obstacle: Cache-less users cannot decode XORed messages.
- Does adding users *hurt* the theoretically optimal DoF performance of cache-aided users, i.e. $d_{\Sigma} = K_c \gamma + L$?
- Fundamental Obstacle: In the single-antenna setting it does.

Scheme Description

Placement

Placement follows the MN [1] paradigm, i.e.

 $Z_k \leftarrow \{ W_n^{\tau} : k \in \tau, \ \tau \subset [K_c], \ |\tau| = K_c \gamma, \ \forall n \in [N] \}$

Delivery

1. Create a vector of L elements.

Scheme Example

Assume:
$$K_c = 5$$
, $\gamma = \frac{1}{5}$, $K_n = 2$, $L = 2$

Placement

$$Z_{1} = \{A_{1}, B_{1}, \dots, G_{1}\}, \quad Z_{2} = \{A_{2}, B_{2}, \dots, G_{2}\}, Z_{3} = \{A_{3}, B_{3}, \dots, G_{3}\}, \quad Z_{4} = \{A_{4}, B_{4}, \dots, G_{4}\}, Z_{5} = \{A_{5}, B_{5}, \dots, G_{5}\}, \quad Z_{6} = Z_{7} = \emptyset.$$

Delivery



T 350 175 81.6 87.5 70 40.8 50 **Corollary 4 - Add Cache Users Cheaply** Setting: K_n, L . <u>**Result:**</u> Serve infinite amount of K_c with $\gamma \geq \frac{L}{K_c}$, while delay increases by a factor of $\frac{L}{L-1}$. *Example:* $K_n = 300, L = 11.$ Add arbitrary K_c with $\gamma = 0.037$. Delay increases by 10%, number of users can increase infinitely. **Corollary 5 - Even-out Assymetry** Setting: $K_c, \gamma, K_n \leq (L-1) \frac{K_c(1-\gamma)}{1+K_c \gamma}, L.$ <u>Result:</u> System performs as the equivalent with average cache of $\gamma_{av} = \frac{K_c \gamma}{K_c + K_v}$. Example: See example in previous column, where system performs as if every user had cache $\frac{1}{7}$.

Benefits of Combining Antennas with Coded Caching

- *L*-fold increase in Coded Caching Gains [2]
- Caching gains without CSIT cost [3]
- Free users with more antennas (this work)
- Cache-less users with CC gains (this work)



- 2. First element is composed of XORed subfiles for $K_c \gamma + 1$ cache-aided users.
- 3. The rest L 1 elements are subfiles for cacheless users.



 $\mathbf{x}_{257} = \mathcal{H}_{57}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_5 \oplus E_2 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{347} = \mathcal{H}_{47}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_4 \oplus D_3 \\ G_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{356} = \mathcal{H}_{36}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_5 \oplus E_3 \\ F_5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{457} = \mathcal{H}_{57}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} D_5 \oplus E_4 \\ G_4 \end{bmatrix}.$ $\mathcal{H}_{i,i}^{-1}$ precoder to users i, jMain Results **Theorem 1** In a single antenna setting with K_c

cache-aided users with normalized cache γ and K_n cache-less users the delivery time



is exactly optimal.

References

[1] M. A. Maddah-Ali and U. Niesen, "Fundamental limits of caching," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 2014.

[2] E. Lampiris and P. Elia, "Adding transmitters dramatically boosts Coded-Caching gains for finite file sizes," Journal of Selected Areas in Communications (JSAC), 2018.

[3] E. Lampiris and P. Elia, "Achieving full multiplexing and unbounded caching gains with bounded feedback resources," Int. Symp. of *Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, 2018.