



EURECOM
Department of Communication Systems
Campus SophiaTech
CS 50193
06904 Sophia Antipolis cedex
FRANCE

Research Report RR-16-327

**Vehicular Safety Critical Communications: a Case Study
for Unsupervised **LTE D2D****

November 14th, 2016
Last update January 11th, 2017

Laurent Gallo and Jérôme Härri

Tel : (+33) 4 93 00 81 00
Fax : (+33) 4 93 00 82 00
Email : {gallo, haerri}@eurecom.fr

¹EURECOM's research is partially supported by its industrial members: BMW Group Research and Technology, IABG, Monaco Telecom, Orange, Principauté de Monaco, SAP, SFR, ST Microelectronics, Symantec.

Vehicular Safety Critical Communications: a Case Study for Unsupervised [LTE D2D](#)

Laurent Gallo and Jérôme Härri

Abstract

This research report explores the recent [3GPP LTE D2D](#) rel. 14 Radio Resource Management specification to identify the challenges and evaluate the potentials of Unsupervised [LTE D2D](#) (mode 2) for Safety-critical [V2X](#) Communications. It also proposes two distributed resource allocation strategies for unlicensed band access. Complementary to [DSRC/ITS-G5](#), Unsupervised [LTE D2D](#) is an opportunity to provide redundancy for ultra-reliable systems, such as safety-critical [V2X](#) communications.

Index Terms

Automotive 5G, Congestion control, Distributed resource allocation, [ITS](#), [LTE D2D](#), [LTE-direct](#), Mode 2, [ProSe](#), Safety-related communications, [V2X](#)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	LTE D2D - State of the Standard	2
3	State of the research	5
4	Unsupervised LTE D2D for Safety-Critical V2X	6
5	Challenge Overview	8
6	Distributed resource allocation for Unsupervised LTE D2D	9
7	Conclusion	12
8	Acknowledgments	12

List of Figures

1	ProSe architectural extension - adapted from [1, §4.2]	2
2	Resource Allocation in time/frequency domain - Subframe and Resource Block pools	4
3	Example of SL channel for transmission mode 2	5
4	Illustration of vehicles under different cells and operators	7
5	Spectrum Sharing and Co-existence in the RLAN and ITS bands	7
6	Resource reservation for unsupervised LTE V2X	10
7	OOB for distributed resource scheduling	10
8	STDMA for distributed resource scheduling	11
9	LTE V2X distributed scheduling: OOB vs STDMA. The lightly colored area surrounding the curves represent the 95% confidence interval	12

Acronyms

3GPP	3rd Generation Partnership Project.
BSM	Basic Safety Message.
CAM	Cooperative Awareness Message.
CSMA	Carrier Sense Multiple Access.
D2D	Device-to-Device.
DSRC	Dedicated Short Range Communication.
eNB	evolved Node B.
EPC	Evolved Packet Core.
ETSI	European Telecommunications Standard Institute.
FCC	Federal Communications Commission.
FDD	Frequency Division Duplexing.
FDMA	Frequency Division Multiple Access.
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System.
HAD	Highly Autonomous Driving.
HD	Half Duplex.
ISM	Industrial, Scientific, and Medical.
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems.
LAA	Licensed Assisted Access.
LTE	Long Term Evolution.
NLOS	Non Line of Sight.
OCL	Offered Channel Load.
OOC	Optical Orthogonal Code.
PHY	Physical layer.
PLMN	Public Land Mobile Network.
PRB	Physical Resource Block.
ProSe	Proximity Services.
PSCCH	Physical Sidelink Broadcast Channel.

RLAN	Radio Local Area Network.
RX	Reception.
SCI	Sidelink Control Information.
SIB	System Information Block.
SL	Sidelink.
STDMA	Self-Organizing TDMA.
TDD	Time Division Duplexing.
TDMA	Time Division Multiple Access.
TMSI	Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity.
TX	Transmission.
UE	User Equipment.
UTRAN	UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network.
V2X	Vehicle to Everything.

1 Introduction

Connected vehicles are expected to be a major milestone in journey toward future [Highly Autonomous Driving \(HAD\)](#) vehicles. To this objective, a ultra-reliable [Vehicle to Everything \(V2X\)](#) communication service is critical, capable of providing vehicular awareness hundreds of meters away, and in severe [Non Line of Sight \(NLOS\)](#) conditions. Since 1999, the IEEE 802.11p has been chosen for such service by the [Dedicated Short Range Communication \(DSRC\)](#) in the US, and by the [European Telecommunications Standard Institute \(ETSI\) Intelligent Transportation Systems \(ITS\)-G5](#) in Europe. At the time of writing, IEEE 802.11p-based [DSRC/ITS-G5](#) deployments are ongoing, both in the US and in EU, and both in vehicles and as road infrastructures.

The [Long Term Evolution \(LTE\)](#) LTE, already since its Release 12, provides specifications for [Device-to-Device \(D2D\)](#) communication to [Proximity Services \(ProSe\)](#) [1]. Under the pressure of cellular operators and chip manufacturers, this specification has been refined in subsequent releases. LTE rel.14 currently provides a solid specification for [LTE D2D](#), making LTE technology a candidate also for ultra-reliable [V2X](#) communication services.

It is yet necessary to evaluate the true capabilities of [LTE D2D](#) to fulfill such service requirements. Since January 2016, [LTE Rel. 14](#) is available and [D2D](#) aspects, such service discovery or resource allocation, in single, multi-cell or in roaming conditions. However, this specification mostly relates to the case of a supervised [D2D](#) by an [evolved Node B \(eNB\) / Evolved Packet Core \(EPC\)](#) (evolved Packet Core). A limited unsupervised [D2D](#) specification is reserved to First Responders and environments lacking cellular coverage.

Supervised [LTE-D2D](#) is yet not sufficient for safety-critical [V2X](#) communications, first as the [LTE UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network \(UTRAN\)/EPC](#) still remain bottlenecks and single-points of failure, and second as they add non negligible delays for multi-cells and multi-operators vehicular awareness. Also, [V2X](#) communications being fundamentally different from standard cellular traffic, they are not expected to be transmitted on commercial bands, but instead on the unlicensed bands between 5.7GHz and 5.9GHz. A strict supervision in these bands are therefore neither required nor necessary. Yet, considering the lack of specifications, unsupervised [LTE-D2D](#) remains challenging for safety-critical [V2X](#) communications.

In this paper, we overview the state-of-art of the Radio Resource Management of the recent [LTE-D2D](#) rel. 14 standard, and emphasize the lack of specifications related to the unsupervised [LTE-D2D](#) mode. We address key challenges from the absence of network supervision, and identify potential mechanisms available for secured and efficient unsupervised [LTE D2D](#). We finally describe two fully distributed resource allocations schemes for unsupervised [LTE-D2D](#): (i) a blind access developed for opportunistic access in strongly changing topology; (ii) a [Self-Organizing TDMA \(STDMA\)](#) scheme providing higher reliability in stable topology. Jointly or separately, these two mechanisms are capable to provide reliable

V2X communication, and complementary to DSRC/ITS-G5, are a critical strategy to provide communication redundancy for Safety-critical ITS applications.

2 LTE D2D - State of the Standard

In order to support proximity-based discovery, communication and applications, ProSe introduced a novel D2D extension to the legacy LTE architecture. Fig. 1 illustrates this extension for the general case, where the communicating UEs are attached to different Public Land Mobile Network (PLMN).




Figure 1: ProSe architectural extension - adapted from [1, §4.2]

Alongside the legacy interfaces such as the “Uu” connecting an UE to the eNB, a new set of reference points has been introduced to interconnect all functional blocks introduced by the specification. While Table 2 provides a brief overview of these new reference points and their role to the network entities, an interested reader can find a complete description in [1], §4.3 and §4.4 respectively. This work will mainly focus on the PC5 interface, directly connecting ProSe-enabled UEs.

In the LTE terminology this UE-to-UE link is denominated as “Sidelink” (Sidelink (SL)), as opposed to the conventional Uplink (UE-to-eNB) and Downlink (eNB-to-UE). The SL is defined as a subset of the Uplink resources¹, where D2D communications can take place; in the current specification it is allowed to operate within the frequency bands listed in [2, Table 5.5D-1]. The bandwidths that can be allocated to SL differ based on the function: up to 20 MHz can be reserved for discovery, whereas 10 MHz is the maximum for communication and control (see [2, §A6.2 - §A6.5]).

¹In the current 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) specification, Uplink resources have been preferred for SL due to their lower peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR).

Table 2: **ProSe**: description of interfaces and entities

Entity	Description
<i>ProSe App</i>	the Application being served by ProSe
<i>ProSe App Server</i>	stores and manages Application IDs, and manages permissions
<i>ProSe Function</i>	logical function that is used for network related actions required for ProSe
Interface	Description
<i>PC1</i>	introduced but not yet specified in the current release
<i>PC2</i>	defines the interactions for Direct Discovery and EPC-level ProSe Discovery
<i>PC3</i>	used to authorize discovery functions, perform allocation of Application Codes and User IDs used for discovery, and define the authorization policies for discovery
<i>PC4</i>	provides geolocation and EPC -related user data
<i>PC5</i>	the interface carrying the “Sidelink” user plane and control plane communications
<i>PC6</i>	connects ProSe Functions of different PLMNs , when ProSe-enabled UEs are attached to different cellular networks

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the allocation of Sidelink resources is based on *Resource Pools*, formed by:

- a “subframe pool” in time domain, including all subframes carrying the **SL**.
- a “resource blocks pool” in frequency domain, the subset of resource blocks within the subframe pool that are actually assigned to the **SL**.

In time domain, subframe pools are laid out according to a periodical pattern, determined by a bitmap (`subframeBitmap-r12` within `SL-FR-ResourceConfig` in [3, §6.3.8]). The length of the bitmap is fixed to 40 subframes in **Frequency Division Duplexing (FDD)** deployments, whereas it varies from 4 to 42 according to the configuration in **Time Division Duplexing (TDD)**. The period itself (for communication) is defined by `SL-PeriodComm`, which currently supports selected values between 40 and 320 subframes. In frequency domain, the resource block pool is defined by the parameters `prb-Num`, `prb-Start` and `prb-End`: the latter two respectively indicate the index of the first and last RBs allocated to **SL** within the subframe, relative to **Physical Resource Block (PRB) #0**. `prb-Num` indicates how many RBs are assigned after `prb-Start` and before `prb-End`, resulting in the




Figure 2: Resource Allocation in time/frequency domain - Subframe and Resource Block pools

two-striped structure illustrated in Fig. 2. All the parameters for the resource pool allocation are periodically broadcast by the eNB enclosed within the **System Information Block (SIB) 18** for communications and **SIB 19** for discovery [3, §6.3.1], which are accessible by UEs in both RRC_CONNECTED and RRC_IDLE states.

Two types of resource pools are defined for transmission and reception: for UEs to correctly be able to transmit **Reception (RX)** pool of the receiver must be aligned to the **Transmission (TX)** pool of the transmitter. UEs can support multiple resource pools interleaved in time domain: up to 16 in RX and up to 4 in TX (max-SL-RXPool and max-SL-TXPool in [3, §6.4]). Separate resource pools are created to support newly defined **Physical layer (PHY)** layer channels:

- **Physical Sidelink Broadcast Channel (PSCCH)**, which carries system information and synchronization signals;
- **Physical Sidelink Control Channel (PSCCH)**, which carries UE-to-UE control plane data;
- **Physical Sidelink Discovery Channel (PSCCH)**, which supports UE direct discovery transmissions;
- **Physical Sidelink Shared Channel (PSCCH)**, used for user plane data transmissions.

In [4] it is detailed how these **PHY** channels map onto transport and logical, in sections 5.3.1 and 6.1.3.3 respectively.

In **ProSe**, Discovery and Communication functions can take place, one following the other, or independently from each other: discovery, for instance, can either be functional to set up a communication or be a service by itself. Two major discovery modes are supported using uniquely **LTE**: network assisted (“**EPC**-level **ProSe** discovery”, whose procedures in non-roaming scenarios are detailed

in [1, §5.5]) and direct discovery, with this latter not involving the network directly for the discovery operation, thus being the case of interest for this work. Two resource allocation schemes are defined for direct discovery [5, §14.3.2]: “type 1” (autonomous resource selection) or “type 2B” (scheduled resource allocation). In type 2B, the resources for an UE to transmit a discovery message are assigned by the network via a transmission grant, whereas in type 1 they are randomly chosen by the UE from within the discovery pool [6, §5.15.1.1].

Direct communications over the LTE air interface similarly support two allocation schemes concerning the resources dedicated to the transmission of control and data information:

- **Mode 1 - scheduled resource allocation:** transmissions on the Sidelink are authorized by the network, which provides the transmitting UE with PSCCH resources wherein to transmit the Sidelink Control Information (Sidelink Control Information (SCI), see [7, §5.4.3], and PSCCH resources to transmit data in. A shared communication resource pool is not necessary, since the resources for the PSCCH are specifically allocated by the eNB for every transmission request. A shared control pool, on the other hand, is still required, as it needs to be checked by ProSe UE to detect upcoming transmissions.
- **Mode 2 - autonomous resource selection:** UEs autonomously select channel resources within the control pool for the transmission of the SCI and resources within the transmission pool for the messages carrying user plane data. As no coordinator is available to assign resources, they are statically allocated. This mode, in the current specification, is reserved for Public Safety UEs.

Visually, a Sidelink implementation for transmission mode 2 would result in a channel organization such as the one in Fig. 3, wherein the (different) periods of control/communication and discovery services are highlighted.




Figure 3: Example of SL channel for transmission mode 2

3 State of the research

In recent years, extensive research efforts have been done towards the support of direct V2X communications underlying cellular networks. However, the results

currently available in literature propose centralized schemes, that rely on the infrastructure for scheduling assignment, albeit tackling the problem by following different approaches. For instance, in [8], a separate RB assignment and power control algorithm is proposed, wherein cellular users (C-UEs) and vehicular users (V-UEs) share the commercial LTE bands, with the purpose of maximizing the C-UEs sum rate, while supporting V-UEs with given reliability constraints. In [9], the authors designed a centralized scheduling mechanism based on the position of the vehicles within a single cell, then extended to cover multicell deployments in [10]. Knowledge of the geographical position of vehicles is also exploited in [11] which considers a single cell inband scenario, wherein multiple vehicular UEs on a single road share the resources with a single cellular UE in a single cell.

4 Unsupervised LTE D2D for Safety-Critical V2X

Safety Critical V2X applications are characterized by specific network topology and transmission patterns, which challenge the current ProSe architecture. Safety critical messages need to be periodically broadcast by each UE to all other UEs in its local geographical scope, irrelevantly to the cellular coverage of one or multiple operators². This aspect is illustrated on Fig. 4, where three vehicles are in immediate safety-related ranges, but are not under the coverage of the same cells. The blue vehicles are under two different partially overlapping cells of a same operator, while the orange vehicle is under the cellular coverage of another operator. Whereas specific interfaces exist, such as PC5 and PC6, enabling ProSe between UEs connected to two different eNB or different PLMNs, they represent overhead and delay in the D2D discovery/communication³, and as such to Safety-critical V2X applications. Moreover, any functional element required to conduct such process is considered as a single point of failure that Safety-critical V2X applications cannot tolerate. Only fully dedicated and distributed D2D resource allocations may be relied on, and thus irrelevantly to the operator. Safety-critical V2X communication having only a local scope, a distributed resource allocation is also more efficient for optimal resource usage than a centralized scheduler, which would require to cope with specific resource allocations between different eNBs and operators.

Obviously, such unsupervised resource allocation schemes cannot be conducted in the operators commercial bands, but are also not required. When common resources must be made available over a large geographical area and irrelevantly to the operator, using licensed bands is an inefficient strategy. Similarly to DSRC/ITS-G5, LTE-D2D safety-critical V2X communication must be done on a common

²The 3GPP ProSe supports one-to-many communications, but are strictly reserved to Public Safety UEs operating as an independent network, when the regular one is absent, i.e. in case of catastrophic events.

³At the time of writing, the standard is not well defined enough to provide a numerical evaluation: the numerous network entities that need to be involved and the level of coordination needed are however unsuitable for safety critical communications




Figure 4: Illustration of vehicles under different cells and operators

shared band. As described in [12], and illustrated on Fig.5, a variety of unlicensed bands are available. The two most prominent are the **ITS** band between 5875 and 5905 MHz, and the **Radio Local Area Network (RLAN) Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM)** band between 5470 and 5725 MHz. The **RLAN** band is even currently considered for **LTE Licensed Assisted Access (LAA)**, where **LTE eNB/UEs** may use these bands by adopting a mandatory listen-before-talk access [5, §15]. The results and considerations presented in this paper, however, remain valid independently from the use of a dedicated or a shared band.




Figure 5: Spectrum Sharing and Co-existence in the **RLAN** and **ITS** bands

An intensive lobbying is ongoing, both at the US **Federal Communications Commission (FCC)** and at the EU **ETSI**, for co-existence mechanisms between WiFi and **DSRC/ITS-G5** in a future extended **RLAN** band integrating almost all the **ITS** channels. Yet, according to **FCC** watchdogs, any co-existing technology shall not interfere with safety-critical **V2X** communications. Operating **unsupervised LTE D2D** in these bands would make **LTE the primary user**, hence **protecting it from other technologies** and traffic types.

Unsupervised **LTE-D2D** should yet not be considered as a competitor to the current **DSRC/ITS-G5**. First, **DSRC/ITS-G5** is currently the only available technology for safety-critical **V2X** communication, and will be deployed before the unsupervised **LTE-D2D** will be available. Second, it should be considered as an opportunity to rely on two different technologies on different bands, and as such capable of either adding redundancy or doubling the communication capacity as function of the objectives of safety-critical **V2X** applications.

5 Challenge Overview

Although no strong normative bounds prevent unsupervised **LTE D2D** for safety-critical **V2X** communication, technical challenges remain due to the reduction of the supervision by the network. We identify and describe next critical challenges which should be addressed by Unsupervised **LTE D2D**:

- **Synchronization** - The timing of **UEs** transmissions and reception are adapted to a time reference established by the **eNB**. In **LTE D2D** unicast, synchronization signals are defined to align the time reference of pairs of users; in an unsupervised broadcast scenario, however, the time reference needs to be shared by all the **UEs**. This can be achieved, for instance, by using **GPS** and by applying a guard time during the last symbol (or half symbol) of each subframe, which compensates for interference due to propagation delay. A reliable availability of **Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)** coverage in scenarios such as urban canyons and tunnels will be a requirement for future autonomous vehicles. In cases wherein **GNSS** coverage might be absent, however, **UEs** will be able to maintain synchronization by relying on the network-provided timing, compensating internally for its mismatch with **GNSS** time. The edge cases where none of the above is available are challenges for future study, requiring advanced techniques to reliably exploit **UEs** internal clock.
- **Distributed Resource Allocation** - **LTE D2D UEs** in mode 2 need to independently detect and handle contention with proximity **UEs**: **LTE LAA** already provides such a scheme, but also leaves room for further optimization. The distributed resource allocation scheme envisioned for unsupervised **LTE D2D** will require **UEs** to be able to monitor the channel load and control congestion by themselves, both to guarantee coexistence and to avoid collision with other **UEs** competing for the same resources: the same power / rate control as for **ITS-G5** can be used for this purpose [13].
- **Half Duplex (HD)** operations - The **LTE** air interface, as illustrated in [14], allows multiple packet-slot to be positioned in adjacent sub-bands within the same transmission time interval. This means that **UEs** that select one of them to transmit a packet will not be able to receive in the ones time co-located to it, causing a further impairment that affects **RX** performance.

- **Cooperative Multi-hop LTE Resource Sharing** - Well known in ad-hoc and vehicular networks, channel conditions strongly vary in space and in time. Any channel condition locally measured does not reflect the condition of the UEs, which will be impacted by transmitting LTE D2D UE. LTE D2D UEs will need to cooperate and exchange information. The ETSI ITS Geonetworking [15] could be an approach to that objective for two main reasons: first, the relay of local channel monitor, which greatly helps UEs into taking optimal TX rate / power decision based on one-hop channel knowledge; second, for its ability to support mobile relay nodes.
- **Security and Privacy**- Without an eNB, distributed security and privacy mechanisms are required. Aspects like Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI) (anonymous ID), certificates, as well as mechanisms to exchange them are required. Also in this case, IEEE 1609.2 and ETSI ITS security specifications⁴ provide a full specification for cryptography and authentication that could be applied.

All these challenges are important, but it can be mentioned that all of them have been studied already under different conditions and technologies. Accordingly, Unsupervised LTE D2D may freely integrate them when and wherever required. Yet, joint frequency-time distributed resource allocation is one challenge remaining insufficiently charted. All studies investigating these allocation strategies assumed either pure Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA), Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) or Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA). Distributed resource allocations for unlicensed LTE D2D is a combination of all of them, and specific aspects, such as joint frequency/time resource block allocations in half duplex conditions, yet requires to be investigated.

6 Distributed resource allocation for Unsupervised LTE D2D

We provide in this Section a few hints on promising strategies for joint frequency/time distributed resource allocations for Unsupervised LTE D2D.

The Sidelink structure described in section 2 and its periodical nature can be efficiently exploited to convey V2X safety critical traffic. We propose to organize channel resources as illustrated in Fig. 6. The D2D V2X period is a further periodicity which is related to the maximum envisioned TX rate: in the case of the standard Cooperative Awareness Message (CAM) / Basic Safety Message (BSM) 10Hz TX rate, this period is equal to 0.1 seconds. UEs can retrieve the SL time and frequency coordinates from the SIBs 18 and 19⁵, then organize all the resources into slots, each containing a number of Resource Block Pairs sufficient to carry a

⁴among others: TS 102 687; TS 102 940; TS 102 731.

⁵SIB 18/19 info may be preloaded as well, considering they would not change over time/space




Figure 6: Resource reservation for unsupervised LTE V2X

packet (e.g. CAM or BSM). The number of slots L available per D2D V2X period depends on many factors, such as the amount of channel resources dedicated to the V2X pools, the modulation and coding scheme used, and the packet size.

GPS synchronization and the SL time / frequency information received from the network will ensure that UEs all associate the same resource block pairs to the same slots. Assuming that all the eNBs in a wide region do share the same allocation scheme, these same slots can be exploited to transmit between multiple cells without requiring further inter-cell coordination. Allocating the SL V2X pool in a shared band such as the ITS or RLAN bands, and linking the subframes timing to UTC time coordinates will allow UEs from multiple operators to exploit that same set of resources, without needing inter-operator coordination, but only the definition of new, RRC messages for the configuration of the UEs.

We briefly describe two mechanisms for distributed scheduling: (i) Optical Orthogonal Code (OOC), a retransmission-based system as in [14, 16] and reference therein, and (ii) STDMA [17], a reservation-based distributed multiple access scheme designed for position reporting applications.




Figure 7: OOC for distributed resource scheduling

As depicted on Fig. 7, OOCs are sets of binary codewords which UEs can locally generate and use to regulate channel access. OOC codewords must have length L bits, of which w are 1s and $L - w$ 0s. The UEs generate one code-

word per period, then associate every bit, in the order, to the L available slots: the transceiver is then set in **TX** mode in correspondence of 1 bits and in **RX** state in correspondence to the 0 bits. This results in w retransmissions per period for each **UE**. The beneficial property of **OOC** is that the cross correlation between pairs of codewords is upper bounded to $\lambda < w$. This means that two **UEs** can collide at most in λ slots per period, which improves the transmission reliability.




Figure 8: **STDMA** for distributed resource scheduling

STDMA, on the other hand, is a protocol in which **UEs** exploit the knowledge of other **UEs** **CAM/BSM** transmissions, and accordingly also their positions, to reserve slots for their transmissions. **UEs** trying to gain access to **STDMA** resources first need to listen to a full **STDMA** frame. Based on this, **UEs** will obtain a representation of available and busy slots as illustrated on Fig.8. Then, **UEs** will autonomously choose enough slots to support their **TX** rate. In the case not enough free slots are available, **UEs** will rely on spatial reuse by using slots occupied by the geographically most distant **UEs**. The **UE** finally announces its reserved slots for all other **UEs** to become aware of it. The slot reservation pattern is periodically updated to compensate for variations of the topology due to users' mobility.

In figure 9, the MAC-layer performance of **OOC** and **STDMA** are compared by means of simulation against a metric called *Offered Channel Load (OCL)* in a static scenario, wherein 900 packet slots per seconds are available per second and allocated over 300 subframes, each containing 3 packet-slots co-located in time. A transmission rate of 10 Hz per second is assumed for both schemes, with **OOC** transmitting $w = 2$ instances of each packet.

The reservation-based, context aware **STDMA** shows to provide the best performance in ideal conditions (neglecting the **HD** impairment). However, when **HD** is factored in, a notable drop in performance is observed due to collisions caused by the missed receptions of the re-reservation information attached to the packets. On the other hand, the blind channel access mechanism, and the multiple re-transmissions performed by **OOC** offer a worse baseline ideal performance, which however is less affected by the **RX** impairment caused by **HD**. The price of multiple re-transmissions is a higher **OCL** per user.

These two conceptually different approaches provide better results in different scenarios: while the re-reservation pattern of **STDMA** performs better in slowly changing environments, wherein the re-reservation parameters vary faster than the network topology does, the **OOC** approach provides better performance for fast




Figure 9: **LTE V2X** distributed scheduling: **OOC** vs **STDMA**. The lightly colored area surrounding the curves represent the 95% confidence interval

changing topologies, thanks to re-transmissions and to limited cross correlations, which influence the delivery reliability.

7 Conclusion

Considering **LTE D2D**-based safety-critical **V2X** communication, network supervision is neither required nor necessary. It is not required, as operating in **LTE** unlicensed band supports dedicated access, and it is not necessary as it adds delay and points-of-failure. Unsupervised **LTE D2D** yet remains challenging, as key network supervision functions need to be distributed. This article listed them, and notably introduced two Radio Resource Management strategies capable of allocating **LTE D2D** resources in a fully distributed way. Complementary to **DSRC/ITS-G5**, Unsupervised **LTE D2D** is a promising approach to increase the capacity and add redundancy for safety-critical **V2X** communications.

8 Acknowledgments

This work has been performed within the frame of the HIGHTS project funded by the European Commission (636537-H2020).

References

- [1] 3GPP, “Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE; Proximity-based services (ProSe); Stage 2 (3GPP TS 23.303 version 13.3.0 Release 13),” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., Apr. 2016.
- [2] —, “TS 36.101 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access E-UTRA; User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception (release 13),” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., March 2016.
- [3] —, “TS 36.331; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol Specification v13.0.0,” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., Jan. 2016.
- [4] —, “TS 36.300 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN); Overall description; Stage 2 (Release 12),” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., March 2015.
- [5] —, “TS 36.213 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical Layer procedures (Release 13) v13.0.0,” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., January 2016.
- [6] —, “TS 36.321 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Medium Access Control protocol specification (Release 13) v13.0.0,” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., January 2016.
- [7] —, “TS 36.212 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Multiplexing and channel coding (Release 13) v13.0.0,” 3GPP, Tech. Rep., January 2016.
- [8] W. Sun, E. Strom, F. Brännström, K. Sou, and Y. Sui, “Radio Resource Management for D2D-based V2V Communication,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, pp. 1–1.
- [9] M. Botsov, M. Klugel, W. Kellerer, and P. Fertl, “Location dependent resource allocation for mobile device-to-device communications,” in *2014 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*. IEEE, pp. 1679–1684.
- [10] —, “Location-based Resource Allocation for mobile D2D communications in multicell deployments,” in *Communication Workshop (ICCW), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 2444–2450.

- [11] Y. Ren, C. Wang, D. Liu, F. Liu, and E. Liu, "Applying LTE-D2D to Support V2V Communication Using Local Geographic Knowledge," in *Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Fall), 2015 IEEE 82nd.* IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [12] ETSI, "ES 202 663, intelligent transport systems (ITS); european profile standard for the physical and medium access control layer of intelligent transport systems operating in the 5 ghz frequency," ETSI, Tech. Rep., November 2009.
- [13] —, "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Decentralized Congestion Control Mechanisms for Intelligent Transport Systems operating in the 5 GHz range; Access layer part," ETSI TC ITS, Technical Specification 102 687, july 2011, version 1.1.1.
- [14] L. Gallo and J. Härrı, "Analytic performance comparison of unsupervised LTE D2D and DSRC in a V2X safety context," Eurecom, Tech. Rep. 14-298, 12 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://www.eurecom.fr/publication/4499>
- [15] ETSI, "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular communications; GeoNetworking; Part 4: Geographical addressing and forwarding for point-to-point and point-to-multipoint communications; Sub-part 1: Media-Independent Functionality," ETSI ITS, Technical Specification 102 636-4-1, June 2011, version 1.1.1.
- [16] L. Gallo and J. Härrı, "Short paper: A LTE-direct broadcast mechanism for periodic vehicular safety communications," in *VNC 2013, IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*, Boston, USA, Dec. 2013.
- [17] L. Gallo and J. Härrı, "Self organizing TDMA over LTE sidelink," Eurecom, Tech. Rep. 17-329, 01 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://www.eurecom.fr/publication/5105>