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Abstract. We describe the AEMET meteorological dataset, which makes available some data sources from the Agencia Estatal de Meteorología (AEMET, Spanish Meteorological Office) as Linked Data. The data selected for publication are generated every ten minutes by approximately 250 automatic weather stations deployed across Spain and made available as CSV files in the AEMET FTP server. These files are retrieved from the server, processed with Python scripts, transformed to RDF according to an ontology network (which reuses the W3C SSN Ontology), published in a triple store and visualized using Map4RDF.
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1. Introduction

Governments and their associated agencies worldwide are making some of their data sources available under open data licenses, so as to ensure consumption by the general public and other public and private organisations. In this context, AEMET\textsuperscript{1}, the Spanish Public Weather Service, announced on November 2010 a major change in its data policy, offering a gradual, free and public access to its data in electronic format. As a first step AEMET made publicly available in its website meteorological data registered by its weather stations, radars, lightning detectors and ozone soundings. These data are currently offered with a free and open license\textsuperscript{2} as spreadsheets in the AEMET FTP server.

Our work aims at facilitating the use of these data by processing them and offering them as free and open Linked Data (LD)\textsuperscript{3}. Following our method for LD generation [13], which we have successfully used in other domains, we start by processing these data and generating RDF according to a meterology ontology network that extends the W3C Semantic Sensor Network Ontology (SSN). The aim of this ontology network is to represent knowledge related to measurements made by weather stations. These measurements represent the state of the atmosphere (humidity, pressure, wind, etc.) in a particular place and time, and is conducted through the sensors equipped at each weather station. Finally we publish these data according to LD principles and visualize it with Map4RDF [5].

The structure of this paper corresponds to the steps followed to create the dataset. Section 2 describes the main features of the data selected to be converted as LD. Section 3 presents the design decisions for the URIs, while Section 4 explains the development of our Ontology Network for Weather Data. Section 5 describes the RDF generation process. Next, Section 6 presents the exploitation of the metereological LD. Finally, we present the conclusions and future lines of work.
2. AEMET Data Sources

Among all of the data made available in the FTP server from AEMET, we have focused on surface meteorological observing stations, and more precisely in measurements taken in ten minute interval times\(^4\). The data are recorded in several points in the Spanish geography and can be represented as a set of variables (e.g., temperature or pressure) in a map. These stations belong to the Regional Basic Synoptic Network (RBSN) in Spain operated by AEMET, in accordance with the World Meteorological Organization (WMO)\(^5\). The stations are globally identified by a code and have to accomplish strict quality controls. Their data are used to feed meteorological models and to draw up climate studies. AEMET has around 250 automatic weather stations in this network, registering pressure, temperature, humidity, precipitation and wind data every 10 minutes. Data from the different stations are publicly available online in comma-separated-value (CSV) files, compressed with gzip, updated every hour and kept for seven days. This means that every hour six new files are added, corresponding to periods of ten minutes, and every day a new folder is created to store the files for that day.

The name of each file follows the naming convention “yyyyymmdhhmm_datos.csv”. This allows processing directly a set of specific files without parsing (or even downloading) all of them in order to check whether they are relevant for a specific time range or not. For example, the file named “201102121900_datos.csv” contains data from the year 2011, the month of February, on the day 12 at 19:00h. The files are structured in rows and columns: each row corresponds to a single station, while each column has the ID of the observation type and the value recorded in that period of time.

3. URI design for meteorological data

Every resource in our dataset is identified using a URI\(^6\) (Uniform Resource Identifier). URIs have been designed with simplicity, stability and manageability principles in mind, following common guidelines for their effective use\(^7,8,9\). Similarly, naming conventions for ontology concepts and their labels\(^10,7,2\) have a tantamount importance when linking not only data but also its explicit model, as an ontology.

This section presents the design decisions and conventions used in the project: (1) base URIs structure and conventions; (2) URIs and naming conventions of the AEMET Ontology (TBox), described in section 4; and (3) URIs of the generated instances (ABox).

**Base URI structure.** The base URI, common to all elements in the knowledge base, is http://aemet.linkeddata.es/. TBox and ABox have been separated into two URI schemes, respectively: http://aemet.linkeddata.es/ontology/ and http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/. In case of ABox resources, the class name is appended as part of the URI (e.g. http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Point/).

**TBox URIs.** Names assigned to TBox elements follow camel case style, using English names. Class and property labels have been added in two different languages (Spanish and English). These natural language descriptions are useful in ontology mapping, information extraction and ontology verbalization.

**ABox URIs.** Ensuring the “uniqueness” of the URIs assigned to distinct real-world objects is important to mitigate issues such as co-reference\(^9\) and the instance unification problem\(^4,1\). A series of decisions have been made for the URIs within the generated dataset in order to preserve the integrity and semantics of the data. These decisions followed the recommendations and design patterns proposed by the semantic web community where applicable; in the remaining cases the team has analysed and discussed the best options and identified certain patterns, as it will be discussed later in this section.

The first decision was to make ABox URIs use Patterned URIs\(^10\). This solution mitigates co-reference problems between two distinct individuals of different type and same local ID by adding the class name to the base URI. The second decision was to use Natural keys\(^11\) whenever the source group of resources already had a unique identifier that could be used (e.g., the synoptic weather stations and their unique identifier: INDSINOP). Finally, some other ad-hoc URI structures have been created in order to uniquely identify the resources. Most of them could be referred to as

\(^5\)http://www.wmo.int/  
\(^6\)http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986  
\(^7\)http://www.w3.org/TR/cooluris/  
\(^8\)http://www.w3.org/Provider/Style/URI  
\(^9\)http://www.w3.org/TR/chips/  
\(^10\)http://bit.ly/NKiUIB  
Table 1
ABox URI patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource class</th>
<th>Local ID pattern</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station</td>
<td>INDSINOP</td>
<td><a href="http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Station/08202">http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Station/08202</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>INDSINOP</td>
<td><a href="http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Point/08202">http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Point/08202</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>at_&lt;timestamp&gt;<em>of</em>&lt;INDSINOP&gt;<em>on</em>&lt;observedProperty&gt;</td>
<td>http://.../Observation/at_1306446000000_of_08202_on_PREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval</td>
<td>tenMinutes_since_&lt;timestamp&gt;</td>
<td>http://.../Interval/tenMinutes_since_1306446000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instant</td>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td><a href="http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Instant/1306446000000">http://aemet.linkeddata.es/resource/Instant/1306446000000</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a “composite” pattern, where the local ID is formed by several interconnected pieces of information related to the resource being identified (e.g., “Observation” in Table 1, which presents the different local IDs assigned to each type of individual in the ABox).

4. An Ontology Network for Weather Data

The development of the AEMET ontology network has been performed following an iterative approach based on the reuse of existing knowledge resources, both ontological (including ontologies and Ontology Design Patterns\textsuperscript{12}) and non-ontological, as proposed by the NeOn methodology\textsuperscript{11}.

The AEMET ontology network\textsuperscript{13} follows a modular structure\textsuperscript{11} consisting of a central ontology that links together a set of ontologies that describe different sub domains involved in the modelling of meteorological measurements.

Figure 1 presents the main classes and properties of this ontology network. This model, which can be considered as the AEMET core, contains four modular ontologies: Sensor, Time, Location, and Measurement.

The current version of the AEMET ontology network has been implemented in OWL DL and contains 83 classes, 102 object properties, 80 datatype properties, and has a SROIQ(D) expressiveness. Next, we present a brief description of each of the sub domains, as well as the knowledge resources that were reused in each ontology.

**Measurement ontology** models the knowledge related to meteorological observations. Main concepts in this ontology are: ssn:Observation, ssn:FeatureOfInterest and ssn:Property, reused from the W3C SSN ontology\textsuperscript{8}. As part of the customization of SSN to our use case, the concept ssn:Property has been extended and populated according to the properties that AEMET gathers in its observations. For this purpose, we have used the tool NOR\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{12} to transform the non-ontological resource “Describe_VAR.csv” file provided by AEMET to an ontological resource.

**Location ontology** models knowledge about locations, such as administrative limits and coordinates. The wgs84\textsubscript{pos} vocabulary\textsuperscript{14} has been reused with the aim of supporting the representation of geometric positioning by means of the concept “Point” and defining a mapping between the relationships aemet:locatedIn and wgs84\textsubscript{pos}:location. The choice of “wgs84\_pos” is motivated because it is widely accepted by the community\textsuperscript{15} to represent simple geo-coordinates. In addition, we reused part of the GeoBuddies ontology network\textsuperscript{16} to represent knowledge about the Spanish administrative division.

**Time ontology** models knowledge about time such as temporal units, temporal entities, instants, intervals, etc. This ontology reuses the OWL Time ontology\textsuperscript{17}.

**Sensor ontology** models the network of sensors and weather stations. It extends SSN, which supports the description of the physical and processing structure of sensors. Here, a sensor is anything that can estimate or calculate the value of a phenomenon, so as a device or a computational process or a combination of both. The representation of a sensor in the ontology links together what it measures (the domain phenomena), the physical sensor (the device) and its functions and processing (the models). During the alignment process between the SSN ontology and the AEMET ontology network the concept “ssn:Sensor” has been extended by means of a hierarchy of types of sensors used by AEMET.

---
\textsuperscript{12}http://ontologydesignpatterns.org
\textsuperscript{13}http://aemet.linkeddata.es/models.html
\textsuperscript{14}http://www.w3.org/2003/01/geo/wgs84_pos
\textsuperscript{15}http://bit.ly/R3vfrj
\textsuperscript{16}http://bit.ly/T2MM1P
\textsuperscript{17}http://www.w3.org/TR/owl-time/
5. RDF Generation

RDF has been generated with ad-hoc Python scripts\(^{18}\) that are executed in two processing steps, integrating with ease the generation of RDF and tasks such as crawling the FTP server where the CSV files are located.

The first step generates the information about the automatic stations, which is static, and thus needs to be executed only once. Having a script is also more maintainable than manually generating the RDF in case there are changes, which is usual in an evolutionary prototype context.

The second step generates the information about the observations on a regular basis, keeping the data updated. The observations are obtained by crawling the FTP server from AEMET. When new files are added or old files are modified, these are downloaded and processed. The process is very similar to the one described in the first step and it can be reused when using plain text files to generate RDF.

Both steps use templates that model the RDF to be generated, expressed in the N3 notation. These templates have been generated manually in this agile approach. For each step two tasks are performed: first the files are parsed and the relevant information is extracted from them; then, we instantiate the templates and write the generated RDF to the corresponding files, using the information extracted in the previous task.

The generated RDF is stored in Virtuoso\(^ {19}\), which integrates with Pubby\(^ {20}\) to publish the results, making them available for humans and computers. The number of triples generated per day is 24\(\text{hours}\)\(\times\)\(6\text{entries} \times 31\text{triples} \times 9\text{observation} \times 261\text{stations}\) + 15\(\text{triples}\) = 10488672\(\text{triples}\) day. Following the same update process as AEMET does, only the data from the last seven days are kept on the online system.

Finally, we have used the Silk framework\(^ {21}\) to link the location of the weather stations to other datasets in LD. In total, 153 links\(^ {22}\) were established with GeoLinkedData [6], and 82 with DBpedia\(^ {23}\).

6. Exploitation

The AEMET dataset exposes the meteorological sensor data in RDF allowing for queries that otherwise would require a lot of time by just looking at the original files. This section aims to show how the different types of observations can be retrieved and filtered by submitting SPARQL queries to the endpoint\(^ {24}\).

---

18\(\text{http://bit.ly/Qo0NX5}\)
19\(\text{http://virtuoso.openlinksw.com/}\)
20\(\text{http://www4.wiwiss.fu-berlin.de/pubby/}\)
21\(\text{http://bit.ly/OGn2s}\)
22\(\text{http://aemet.linkeddata.es/links/}\)
23\(\text{http://dbpedia.org/}\)
24\(\text{http://aemet.linkeddata.es/sparql}\)
make the text more readable, we introduce the next
prefix declarations:
ssn: <http://purl.oclc.org/NET/ssnx/ssn#>
aemet: <http://aemet.linkeddata.es/ontology/>
w3ctime: <http://www.w3.org/2006/time#>
geo: <http://www.w3.org/2003/01/geo/wgs84_pos#>

6.1. Retrieving the contents from the observations

Thanks to the modeling proposed in section 4, each
observation can be accessed by any of its attributes.
For example, given the location (latitude and longi-
tude) of a weather station and a date and an hour, we
could retrieve the observations for that exact time with
the following query:
WHERE {
  ?station geo:location ?position ;
  aemet:stationName ?est .
  ?position geo:lat <latitude> .
  ?position geo:long <longitude> .
  ?obs ssn:observedBy ?station ;
  ssn:observedProperty ?prop ;
  aemet:valueOfObservedData ?value ;
  aemet:observedDataQuality ?q ;
  aemet:observedInInterval ?inter .
  ?inter w3ctime:hasBeginning ?instant .
  ?instant w3ctime:inDateTime ?dateTime .
  ?dateTime w3ctime:inXSDDateTime <myDateTime> .
}
The query also retrieves the name of the weather sta-
tion measuring the observation, the measured prop-
erty, the value of the measure and the quality of
the value. <latitude> and <longitude> would corre-
respond to the latitude and longitude of the station (e.g.
“43.3672222222”, “-8.4194444444”), and <myDate-
Time> would be the date at which we are interested
to recover observations, in xsd:DateTime format (e.g.
“2011-05-27 01:40:00”).

Additional filters can be added, e.g. if the observa-
tions to retrieve from a weather station must be during
a time interval, the final part of the query can be re-
placed with:
?dateTime w3ctime:inXSDDateTime ?dt .
FILTER (?dt >= xsd:dateTime("timeIntervalStart")).
FILTER (?dt <= xsd:dateTime("timeIntervalEnd")).
If the objective is to recover a single property of the
weather station, the next SPARQL fragment can be
added to the query:
?obs ssn:observedProperty <desiredProperty> .
Where <desiredProperty> would correspond to the
different properties that describe the sensor measure-
ments in the model. For example, we could choose the
velocity of the wind in m/s (e.g., http://aemet.linked
data.es/resource/WindAmbientProperty/VV10m). Other
possibilities are filtering by the quality of the observa-
tion (the numeric "q" value in the query), proximity
to a location (by comparing latitudes and longitudes),
etc.

6.2. An application for representing and retrieving
meteorological data

By extending the queries introduced in the previous
section, we have built an application25 for showing the
contents of the AEMET dataset. The application con-
ists on a viewer based on Map4RDF [5], a tool to vi-
sualize and access to LD resources.

Each station is represented with a marker in a map.
When a user clicks on one of the markers, a new pop up
window appears showing the last stored measure and
the value of each of its observations (wind, tempera-
ture, pressure, etc.). The value of the last measure is
retrieved dynamically from the endpoint, enabling the
application to show up an updated value at the specific
point where it was measured. Additionally, the win-
dows show a menu to display the graphics of the values
for an observation by the specific weather station.

Another reported use of our dataset is the evalu-
atation of meteorological models and streams of sen-
sor data. As an example, the work described in [3]
builds a self-contained evaluation system which links
raw sensor measurement to high-level semantics using
our dataset.

Finally, other potential uses of the dataset show off
when we combine it with other datasets in LD. For ex-
ample, it can be used with the GeoLinkedData dataset
exploiting its geospatial information (e.g., measure the
wind speed in stations close to airports to analyze its
relation to flight delay, check the temperature close to
certain rivers, villages or provinces for biology studies,
etc.).

7. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented the AEMET LD
dataset, which exposes public meteorological data
from AEMET resources as LD. We have reused on-
tologies like the W3C Time ontology and the SSN on-
tology (widely used in other systems); we have shown
how to retrieve the data, create and update and expose
the triples automatically in our endpoint and show the

25http://aemet.linkeddata.es/browser.html
results in a generic visualizer that considers the geoposition of the data.

Other systems can consume this data and offer visualizations, aggregations, reason over it, use it for evaluations, etc. Once the data has been extracted and is offered as LD, applications that use LD can instantly benefit from it. These data can be linked with data from sectors like agriculture or tourism to find correlations and study its impact. Moreover, publishing the dataset as LD allows modularity and reusability. For example, less time would be required for the development of applications based on this data (in particular, it would save time understanding its format and parsing without errors, given the fact that it is standarized and there are many tools to process it) as we have already shown with the visualizer. Finally, by complying with well established standards, interoperability is enhanced (e.g., within other sensor networks and applications using these ontologies), and the linking in other domains such as medical, geographical, biological, etc.

There are two known shortcomings of our dataset. The first one is the maintenance and discovery of high quality links to external datasets, which is a daunting task due to the large size and diversity of such datasets. In order to tackle this issue, we plan to re-explore the existing datasets in the cloud and try to find the commonalities with those that expose additional information of sensors and locations.

The second shortcoming is addressing the scalability of the data, due to the growth of the triples per day. We currently address scalability issues by keeping only the latest week in the dataset (following AEMET policy), and storing the rest of the files as compressed unprocessed data. As future work, we plan to produce summaries of older data in a separate endpoint, so as to be able to store and query a wider range of days efficiently.

As other lines of future work, we plan to publish additional types of measurements recently exposed by AEMET (e.g., solar radiation, weather forecasts for the current day, etc.), which could be very useful when combined with biology datasets (how species migrate) or for users planning trips.
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