

Vehicular Delay Tolerant Networks

ABSTRACT

Traditional networks suppose the existence of some path between endpoints, small end to end round-trip delay time, and loss ratio. Today, however, new applications, environments and types of devices are challenging these assumptions. In Delay Tolerant Networks (DTNs), an end-to-end path from source to destination may not exist. Nodes may connect and exchange information in an opportunistic way. This book chapter presents a broad overview of DTNs, particularly focusing on Vehicular DTNs, their main characteristics, challenges, and research projects on this field. In the near future, cars are expected to be equipped with devices that will allow them to communicate wirelessly. However, there will be strict restrictions to the duration of their connections with other vehicles, whereas the conditions of their links will greatly vary; DTNs present an attractive solution. Therefore, VDTNs constitute an attractive research field.

INTRODUCTION

Delay Tolerant Networking, sometime referred to as Disruption Tolerant (DTN), has been developed as an approach to building architecture models tolerant to long delays and/or disconnected network partitions in the delivery of data to destinations. In this chapter, we will study the characteristics of these architectures, and many of the protocols developed to ensure packet delivery in these networks. We henceforth use DTN to refer to both Delay Tolerant Networking and Disruption Tolerant Networks. For *Vehicular DTN*, the acronym VDTN is used.

The vehicular network research field, and in extent the VDTN research field, have attracted great attention in the last few years. Initiatives such as i2010 Intelligent Car Initiative Intelligent Car (2009) aim to decrease the accidents and CO2 emissions in Europe utilizing sensors and vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication to increase road safety. According to these projects, cars equipped with wireless devices will exchange traffic and road safety information with nearby cars and/or roadside units. According to the ETSI 102 638 technical report (ETSI TR102_638, 2009, June), the 20% of the running vehicles will have wireless communication capabilities by 2017. The same report estimates that by 2027 almost 100% of the vehicles will be equipped with communication devices.

The design of the core Internet protocols is based on a number of assumptions, including the existence of some path between endpoints, small end to end round-trip delay time, and the perception of packet switching as the right abstraction for end-to-end communications. Furthermore, the efficiency of these protocols is based on assumptions about the resources available to the nodes and the properties of the links between them. Traditionally nodes are considered to be fixed, energy unconstrained, connected by low loss rate links, and communication occurs due to the exchange of data between two or more nodes.

Today, however, new applications, environments and types of devices are challenging these assumptions and call for new architectures and modes of node operation. Some of these challenges are intermittent and/or scheduled links, very large delays, high link error rates, diverse and/or energy constrained devices, with heterogeneous underlying network architectures and protocols in the protocol stack, and most importantly, the absence of an end-to-end path from a source to a destination. Applications that may pose

such challenges include spacecrafts, planetary/interplanetary, military/tactical, disaster response, mobile sensors, vehicular environments, satellite and various forms of large scale ad hoc networks. The variety of these applications, the impossibility of having a fixed wired Internet infrastructure everywhere, and the inclusion of mobility in most of these applications, make these challenges more difficult to surmount. This often leads us to a new approach of designing networks, taking into account several constraints and characteristics, using DTN.

This book chapter provides a broad view of what is DTNs, their main advantages and disadvantages as well as some of the main research subjects that involve DTNs.

BACKGROUND

VDTNs have evolved from DTNs and are formed by cars and any supporting fixed nodes. Fall (2003) is one of the first authors to define DTN and discuss its potential. According to his definition, a DTN consists of a sequence of time-dependent opportunistic contacts. During these contacts, messages are forwarded from their source towards their destination. This is illustrated in Figure 1, in the first contact the origin sends the message to A in time t_1 , then A holds the message until it delivers to the destination in the contact at time t_2 . Contacts are characterized by their start and end times, capacity, latency, end points and direction. The routing algorithm can use these pieces of information to decide the most appropriate route(s) to deliver a message from its source to its destination. However, routing in a network where the edges among the mobile nodes depend on time signifies is not a straightforward task. One needs to find an effective route, both in time and space. All nodes along the path should consider the nodes movement pattern and the possible communication opportunities for message forwarding. Unfortunately, it is not always easy to determine future communication opportunities or even forecast the mobility patterns of the nodes in the network.




Figure 1- A representation of two communication opportunities in a VDTN

Cerf et al. (2007) characterizes contacts as:

- Persistent: When they are always available, i.e. a Cable modem
- On-Demand: When they require an action to start, but after that they work as persistent contacts. For example, a dial-up connection.
- Intermittent scheduled: When the parts agree to meet at a specific location for a determined period of time, i.e., low earth satellite communication window.
- Intermittent opportunistic: Contacts that occur unexpectedly, for example, a car passing by in a non scheduled manner.

- Intermittent predicted: When the contacts are not based on a schedule, but on predictions. A prediction is a contact that is likely to happen, based on the history or other kind of information. However, there are no guarantees predicted contacts will actually happen. For example, when people are commuting to work, it probable that at the same time the same contacts are available, because people normally go at the same time, take the same routes.

Forwarding and routing strategies may vary significantly according to the type of contacts a node, or a network, is expected to encounter. In the case of a DTN with intermittent contact opportunities, the main priority is to maximize the probability of message delivery, and to minimize the end-to-end delay. For networks with more stable and consistent contact opportunities, it is important to discover an efficient path while trying to save as much as possible of the network resources. On a scenario with deterministic message routing and persistent, on-demand or intermittent but scheduled contacts, we may have a chance to achieve optimal performance of the network and manage efficiently the available resources, i.e. spectrum and node energy. However, under unpredictable intermittent network conditions, where the mobility obscures present and future topology, nodes can only forward packets randomly based on the likelihood they will eventually arrive to their destination. Then, the problem of delivering messages to their final destination is paramount and dominates that of resources utilization. In this case, flooding or epidemic message forwarding are popular approaches. Between the two extremes, deterministic contacts and fully opportunistic ones, a broad range of strategies may be used to balance message delivery and resource optimization. Another issue to keep in mind is the duration and bandwidth of contacts. In a vehicular network, the number of contacts may be high, but the duration of each one should often be expected to last only seconds, especially between cars moving in opposite lanes. This significantly limits the amount of information exchanged among nodes.

In 2002 the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF), (IRTF, 2009), started a new group called Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group (DTNRG), (DTNRG, 2009). The group was first linked to the Interplanetary Internet Research Group (IPNRG), (IPNRG, 2009), however, soon it became clear that the main characteristics of DTNs, i.e. non-interactive, asynchronous communication, would be useful in a broader range of situations. The main aim of IRTF is to provide architectural and protocol solutions to enable interoperation among nodes in extreme and performance challenged environments where the end-to-end connectivity may not exist. IRTF states DTNRG focuses to a wide range of application scenarios; spacecraft, military/tactical, public safety, underwater, sensor and ad-hoc networks and extremely degraded connectivity, such as country side networks, to name a few.

CHALLENGES AND TECHNIQUES

The conditions of DTN operation lead to an architecture that challenges the traditional conception of most of the network layers. In this section we present some of the major challenges faced by DTN protocols at different network layers. Standard network modeling techniques are also challenged and new ways to model nodes and connections should be created to evaluate the considered protocols. Therefore this section also discusses the different network modeling, traffic modeling, transport layer issues, routing and data dissemination strategies.

Routing

The challenges that Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) need to overcome have lead to significant research focused on routing. Routing is considered to be the problem of deciding forwarding strategies that enable messages to pass from the origin to the destination. The issues presented in this section pertain to most of the network layers and techniques developed for DTNs. For the case of VDTNs, store-and-forward, or store-carry-and-forward techniques are used (Small, Haas, 2005). This means that the nodes which receive a message, store it for some time, possibly carry it to another location, and afterwards forward it

to other nodes. In the Internet, nodes often momentarily buffer packets as well. However, this spends as short time intervals as possible, while in DTN it is used to overcome absence of end-to-end connectivity, as well as a mechanism to wait until efficient connections are present. Each intermediate node verifies the integrity of the message before forwarding it. In general, this technique helps us cope with intermittent connectivity, especially in the wilderness or environments requiring high mobility, and may be preferable in situations of long delays in transmission and variable or high error rates.

Mundur, & Seligman (2008), identify mainly two classes of routing algorithms for DTNs. The first class is based on epidemic routing, in which nodes use opportunistic contact to infect other nodes with the message to be delivered. For this group, the need of network knowledge is minimal. The routing algorithms have no control of node mobility and the forwarding process occurs in a fortuitous way. The second class of algorithms utilizes topology information and the algorithms may control nodes mobility. For Mundur, & Seligman (2008), this case is characterized by “islands” of well connected nodes with intermittent connectivity with other nodes.

Fall (2003) and Jain, Fall & Patra, (2004) present an interesting list of routing issues for DTNs.

- Routing objective: Although the main objective of a routing algorithm is message delivery and DTNs are, by definition, tolerant to delay, that does not mean we should not try to decrease the delay as much as possible. Algorithms should attempt to find a good tradeoff between decreasing the end-to-end delay and saving network resources.
- Reliability: The protocols should be reliable and provide some form of mechanism to inform the nodes that their messages reached the destination. Acknowledged message delivery is an important enhancement of the offered services.
- Security: In all types of networks, security is an important factor. However, in DTNs the packets may cross a diverse path to reach the destination. The reliability and intentions of often numerous intermediate nodes may not be possible to guarantee. Mechanisms to provide message authentication and privacy of the messages content are of supreme importance.
- Resource allocation: Normally the main routing objectives of maximizing the message delivery ratio and minimize resource allocation are conflicting. The easiest way to guarantee the message delivery in the smallest amount of time is flooding the network with the message. However, this means a high use of network bandwidth, nodes memory and processing power. These may lead to other problems such as packet collisions, packet drops because full message queues and surely the waist of the limited amount of energy of the nodes.
- Buffer space: Considering the disconnection problem, messages may be stored for a long period of time before they can be forwarded. The buffer space must to be enough to maintain all the pending messages, i.e. messages that did not reached their final destination yet.
- Contact scheduling: The forwarding waiting time is one of the principal elements on DTNs. It is not always clear how long a node will need to keep a message to enable its forwarding. This period may vary from seconds to days.
- Contact capacity: Not only the contacts may not always be predicted, but when they occur, they may be brief. The protocols should take this into account and try to minimize, as much as possible, the use of the spectrum and time with control messages.
- Energy: Mobile nodes may have limited amount of energy and, possibly, hard access to power sources. Normally, for VDTNs the energy is a factor to be kept in mind but it is not one of the main factors since the vehicle can normally provide enough energy to maintain the communication system.

To evaluate the routing algorithms for DTNs Jones (2006), and Sanchez, Franck & Beylot (2007), propose the utilization of:

- Delivery ratio: Jones (2006) defines delivery ratio as “the fraction of generated messages that are correctly delivered to the final destination within a given time period”

- Latency: Even though the networks and applications are supposed to endure delays, many applications could take advantage of shorter delays. Even more, some application have time windows of delay resilience, i.e. messages are valid during a certain amount of time, after that the message loses its validity.
- Transmissions: The number of messages transmitted by the algorithms varies and some, that create multiple copies of the message, may send more messages than others.
- Lifetime: Route lifetime is the time a route can be used to forward packets without the need for re-computation.
- End-to-end delay: This evaluation criterion is the time it takes for one message to go from the origin to the destination.
- Capacity: Capacity is the amount of data that may pass through one route during its lifetime
- Synchronicity: Even in a delay tolerant network, it is possible that, during some intervals, origin and destination are close and the communication may occur directly, or in the same way as it is in traditional wireless networks. Synchronicity, measures how long this situation where *classical* communication is possible.
- Simultaneousness: This criteria measures the contact durations. I.e. the time intermediate nodes are in the same area,.
- Higher order simultaneousness: Simultaneousness is the computed hop-by-hop. However, the same concept may be applied to a series of nodes, a k subsequent number of nodes i.e. a segment of k consecutive nodes, that are part of the complete path.
- Discontinuity: is the normalized duration of packet storage through the path.

Recently, Shen, Moh & Chung (2008) presented a compact and interesting list of routing strategies for DTNs. Like Mundur, & Seligman (2008) Shen, Moh & Chung (2008) also divide the routing protocols in two families; flooding and forwarding. Flooding strategies are the ones where nodes create copies of the packet and forward to more than one node. Forwarding strategies use the knowledge of the network to select the best paths. A comparison between the generic behavior of flooding and forwarding strategies is depicted in **Error! Reference source not found.** Note that flooding strategies result in a significantly higher number of messages compared to forwarding.




Figure 2 – Message transmission example comparing Flooding based and forwarding based strategies.

Flooding based strategies

One of the simplest possible forwarding strategies is called Direct Contact. On this strategy the node waits until the source comes into contact with the destination before forwarding the data. Jones (2006) considers direct contact as a degenerate case of a forwarding strategy. Even though this strategy does not multiply messages, it is considered flooding. The reason is that it does not make use of any topology information the nodes possess. The strategy is simple and presents low resource consumption; however, if the contact opportunities between source and destination are low, then the delivery rate can be low. On the Two hop Relay strategy (Jones, 2006), the source copies the message to the first n nodes that it contacts. These nodes relay the message until they find the destination, it is similar to the direct contact, but now not only the source keeps the message, but also n copies of the message are spread among other nodes. With this we increase the required resources, but also the expected delivery ratio.




Figure 3 - One hop reliability and two hop reliability techniques

Three based flooding strategy (Jones, 2006), extends even more the idea of direct contact in the sense that now all nodes that receive the message may create n copies of it. The message tends to propagate through the network in a controlled flooding that resembles a tree.

Epidemic routing (Vahdat, & Becker, 2000), consists of the spreading of the message as it occurs the spreading of an virus in an epidemic situation. Each node that receives the message rebroadcasts it to every other node it encounters. The contaminated nodes just keep one copy of the message. This approach is extremely effective, but presents a high resource consumption rate.

Ramanathan, Hansen, Basu, Rosales-Hain & Krishnan (2007) present a prioritized version of the epidemic routing. This technique imposes a partial ordering on the messages based on costs to destination, source, and expiry time. The costs are derived from the link availability information. The technique successfully maintains a gradient of replication density that decreases with increasing distance from the destination. Even though it is also a resource intensive technique it presents lower costs and higher delivery rates than simple epidemic routing.

Forwarding based strategies

Location based routing (Jones, 2006) techniques use geographical information, such as Global Positioning System (GPS) data, to forward the data. This strategy is the forwarding one that demands the smallest amount of knowledge of the network structure. With the position information they can estimate the costs and direction to forward the messages.

Source routing, strategies calculate the whole path in the origin, prior than sending the packet. This kind of strategy needs to have a fairly consistent view of the network to work properly. On the other hand, on per-hop routing (Jones, 2006), the decisions of which path to take are done in a hop per hop basis when the message arrives at each hop. Instead of computing the next hop for each message the per-contact routing technique recomputed its routing table each time a contact arrives and its knowledge of the network increases.

Instead of routing with global contact knowledge, Liu & Wu (2007) propose a simplified DTN model and a hierarchical routing algorithm which routes on contact information with three combined methods.

Data Dissemination

Data dissemination refers to data-centric communications protocols. The Data Mule project (Shah, Roy, Jain & Brunette, 2003) and the Message Ferrying scheme (Tariq, Ammar, Zegura, 2006), are two of the most well known data dissemination algorithms for DTNs. They were designed for sensor networks. They propose the use of mobile nodes to collect data from the sensors, buffer it, and deliver the collected data to a sink. The MULEs (Mobile Ubiquitous LAN Extensions) and ferries utilize nodes navigating through the sensor network to collect data in 'mobile caches'. According to the Data Mule project, all the nodes are fixed and only the cache is mobile. Message Ferrying (Tariq, Ammar, Zegura, 2006) also considers mobile nodes, but in this approach the nodes are required to follow specific paths and even move in order to help message delivering.

The SPAWN protocol introduced by Das, Nandan, Pau, Sanadidi, & Gerla, (2004) and Nandan, Das, Pau, Sanadidi, & Gerla (2005) discusses how vehicles should interact to accommodate swarming protocols, such as BitTorrent traffic. In SPAWN, the nodes passing through Access Points (APs) collect data that they subsequently exchange among nearby nodes. Nodes are often required to carry traffic useless to them and the BitTorrent protocol is bandwidth intensive, however, swarming protocols is an interesting and effective way for message dissemination among nodes in VDTNs.

Frangiadakis, Câmara, Filali, Loureiro & Roussopoulos (2008) propose a simple and efficient dissemination algorithm called Virtual Access Points (VAPs). This work focuses the problem of data dissemination in Infrastructure-to-Vehicle (I2V) manner. They are interested on extending the I2V network to areas where regular access points are not deployed. When a vehicle moves near an Access Point (AP), and receives a message, this vehicle becomes responsible for re-broadcasting it over the uncovered areas. Thus, it helps to spread the messages through the network, and the mobile nodes act as Virtual Access Points for nodes on thee the regions that do not have a real AP. This behavior is exemplified in **Error! Reference source not found.**, where node A receives a message from the AP and afterward rebroadcasts it in a non covered area. For all practical purposes there is no difference between

the services provided by the AP and the VAPs. The propagation mechanism is cooperative, and a node only acts as a VAP if it is outside any AP coverage area.

Transport issues

The greatest part of the research for DTNs has focused on routing and data dissemination algorithms. However, many other aspects present interesting and valuable challenges. The transport layer is certainly one of the layers that need special attention. Most of the services offered by existing transport layer protocols, such as TCP, have been ignored. For example, end-to-end connections, sequencing, congestion control and reliability are some of the most important features of the TCP protocol. Some of these services may be easily implemented in DTNs while others will require a fairly amount of future research. We will focus here reliability approaches to ensure message delivery on the DTNs.

Hop-by-hop reliability (Fall, 2003) is the most basic and simple reliability strategies to ensure data delivery on DTNs. Each time a node receives a message, it sends an acknowledgement (ACK) of it reception and after that assumes the responsibility for this message across a defined region. For this case an end-to-end ACK is not possible, unless it is a completely new message generated by the destination.

The lack of end-to-end reliability of the hop-by-hop approach may be a problem for a series of applications. One of the ways to overcome this problem is the use of Active Receipt (Harras, & Almeroth, 2006). Active receipt is basically an end-to-end acknowledgment created by the destination, addressed to the source of the original message. The receipt is actively sent back through the network. In truth it is a new message that is propagated through the network. Active receipts solve the problem of end-to-end reliability but the price to pay for it may be too high in some situations. Passive Receipt (Harras, & Almeroth, 2006), is another method created to provide end-to-end reliability with a lower cost. The high price of the Active Receipt comes from the generation of two messages on the network instead of just one. To use the same term of epidemic routing, now we do have two messages infecting nodes instead of just one. In this case what Passive Receipt introduces is exactly the concept of an implicit receipt, instead of an active one. The destination, instead of creating a new active receipt, it creates an implicit kill message for the first one. The kill message works as a cure for the infected nodes, when they receive this message they know the message arrived to the destination and that they do not need to rebroadcast the original message. The message is rebroadcast only if the cured nodes met other node that is rebroadcasting the original message. The flux of message is lower than the one generated by the active receipt, and the end-to-end reliability is guaranteed, since eventually the source will also receive the passive receipt.

An interesting solution for the end-to-end reliability is also proposed by Harras, & Almeroth (2006) and takes advantage of the number of multiple network infrastructures available nowadays. On the Network-Bridged Receipt approach the nodes may use a different medium access mode to delivery ACKs. For example, while the cell phone network may not present the required data rate for a specific application, or

even present a high cost. The cell network may present more than reasonable bandwidth at a cost effective to send small ACK messages.

Modeling Techniques for VDTNs

Analytical studies perform an important role in the evaluation and, in consequence, in the development of protocols in every area, for vehicular delay tolerant networks it is not different. However, the constraints of DTNs are somehow particular, compared to traditional wired and wireless networks, the same analytical models and constraints may not hold for DTN environment. An analytical model, or study, “is a proven approach for studying system performance, revealing underlying characteristics, and evaluating communication protocols” (Wang, Dang, & Wu, 2007). Theoretical works, like the one of Niyato, Wang, & Teo (2009), provide the indication and comparison basis for other simulation or test-beds experiments.

Many factors may influence the analytical results of an experiment, e.g. node density, capacity, physical and medium access control characteristics. However the three main factors are: mobility model, data delivery scheme and queue management (Wang, Dang, & Wu, 2007).

Mobility model

Different DTNs may have different mobility models and the mobility influence directly the network structure. The way nodes move, or do not move, may influence: the retransmission delays, frequency of contacts among nodes and energy decay. Mobility can either provide the chance for new high quality contact or well the break stable links already established. Different mobility model

Apart from static placement of nodes, probably the simplest mobility model is the Random Walk-based model (Zonoozi, & Dassanayake, 1997). On this mobility model nodes choose random points on the space and move towards these points with random speeds. The three basic steps for a random way point algorithm, as described by Bettstetter, Hartenstein, & Perez-Costa (2002) are: first the node chooses randomly a destination, after that the node goes in direction to that destination with a random speed, the third steps is wait for a random period of time at the destination point. Some minor variants of this process are also possible, for example Spyropoulos, Psounis, Raghavendra (2006) consider random directions instead of positions, but in the end the main concept is the same.

Some well used techniques use different distributions to movement the nodes. The main advantages of using these distribution based mobility model are that, not only the mathematical model of a well known distribution is easy to implement, but also it is easy to analyze the network behavior afterwards. For example, knowing the nodes distribution is easy to calculate the probability of a node cross a specific network area. Some well used distributions are: Normal, poison and exponential.

Markovian mobility models are also a popular choice to model the mobility movement. The main goal of using Markov chains is to create more realistic movement models (Chiang, 1998) (Campos, Otero, & Moraes, 2004) with real drivers actions, such the movement in the same direction and in adjacent directions, acceleration, stops and sharp turns ; it can also simulate some special actions, such as acceleration/deceleration, sharp turns, stops and sudden stops.

Other model designed to provide realistic mobility patterns, introduced by Haerri, Bonnet, Filali, (2007), is Kinetic Graphs. This method tries to capture the dynamics of mobile structures and accordingly develop an efficient maintenance for them. Unlike static graphs, kinetic graphs are assumed to be continuously changing and edges are represented by time-varying weights. Kinetic graphs are a natural extension for static graphs and provide solutions to similar problems, such as convex hulls, spanning trees or connected dominating sets, but for continuously mobile networks. This mobility model is implemented in a tool called VanetMobiSim, (Fiore, Haerri, Bonnet, Filali, 2007), that can generate realistic mobility patterns.

Delivery schemes

Direct transmission and flooding (Wang, & Wu, 2006) are two of the most simple delivery schemes possible. On direct transmission a node simply transmits the message direct to the destination. On flooding schemes the nodes transmit the message to all other nodes it may encounter. The analysis of both schemes is simple since the node behavior is straightforward to predict.

Epidemic dissemination schemes are also extremely popular for VDTNs. For example, the Shared Wireless Infostation Model (SWIM) presents an epidemic Markov dissemination scheme, (Small & Haas, 2003). The scheme is further analyzed and refined in (Small & Haas, 2005). Wang, Dang, & Wu (2007) present a more diverse description of dissemination models.

Queue Management

The way nodes manage their queues is also a determinant component in the de performance of algorithms for VDTNs. The way one model the queues determines, among others, the way nodes will discard old messages and this in consequence will, possibly, affect the network delivery ratio. The generic queuing analytic framework is introduced by Wang & Wu (2007) is a good start point for a simple queue model for VDTNs. The models described by Wang & Wu (2007) are infinite and finite buffer space. For the infinite buffer space the node's queue is considered to have infinite length. For the finite buffer space it is assumed that each node may hold at most k messages on the queue.

Niyato,, Wang, & Teo (2009) present an analytical queuing model based on discrete time Markov chains. This work also proposes models for queue performance measures for VDTNs. The proposed performance measures are: Average Number of Packets in Queue of a Mobile Router, Throughput and Average Packet Delivery Delay

Applications

One of the main focuses of research of VDTNs in the last few years have been the use of VDTNs in road safety applications. Research such as Xu, Mark, Ko & Sengupta (2004) evaluate the feasibility of using dedicated short range communication to warn vehicles about road accidents. Yang, Liu, Zhao, & Vaidya (2004) propose the use of V2V to warn vehicles about road conditions and demonstrate the potential of DTNs for real life applications.

CONCLUSION

DTN is a young and expanding field. VDTN has huge potential because of the imminent appearance of




Figure 4 - Comparison among the reliability approaches messages

vehicular devices capable of wireless communications. These will operate on a very demanding environment, with intermittent connectivity, where an end-to-end path may not always be found. Even though routing and data dissemination have been the focus of research, areas such as security, topology management, transport layer issues, and higher protocol level concerns are equally important. They present problems that will need to be addressed in the near future. DTN and in particular VDTN is an attractive research field exactly for the reason that to achieve the envisioned future of ubiquitous connectivity, we need a solution for these open problems.

REFERENCES

- Bettstetter, C., Hartenstein, H. & Perez-Costa, X.(2002), Stochastic properties of the random waypoint mobility model: epoch length, direction distribution, and cell change rate. In Proceedings of ACM International Workshop on Modeling Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems.
- Burgess, J. , Gallagher, B., Jensen, D. , & Levine, B. (2006, April) MaxProp: Routing for Vehicle-Based Disruption-Tolerant Networks, Proc. IEEE Infocom, Barcelona, Spain.
- Campos, C.A.V., Otero, D.C. & de Moraes (2004, March), Realistic individual mobility Markovian models for mobile ad hoc networks," Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, 2004. WCNC. 2004 IEEE , vol.4, no., pp. 1980-1985 Vol.4, 21-25
- Cerf, V., Burleigh, S., Hooke A., Torgerson, L., Durst R., Scott, K., Fall, K. & Weiss, H. (2007), Delay Tolerant Network Architecture, Retrieved October 18, 2009, from <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4838.txt>.
- Chiang, C. (1998), Wireless Networks Multicasting. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of California, Los Angeles, USA.
- Das,S., Nandan, A., Pau, G., Sanadidi, M.Y. & Gerla, M. (2004), SPAWN: Swarming Protocols for Vehicular Ad Hoc Wireless Networks, Proceedings of the First ACM International Workshop on Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANET 2004), MOBICOM 2004, Berkeley.
- DTNRG (2009), Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group, Retrieved October 18, 2009, from <http://www.dtnrg.org/wiki>.
- ETSI TR102_638 (2009, June), Draft ETSI TR 102 638 V1.0.7, Technical Report, Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular Communications; Basic Set of Applications; Definitions.
- Fall, K.(2003, August), A Delay-Tolerant Network Architecture for Challenged Internets, In ACM SIGCOMM, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Fiore, M., Haerri, J., Bonnet, C., Filali, F.(2007, March), Vehicular mobility simulation for VANETs, ANSS-40 2007, 40th IEEE Annual Simulation Symposium, Norfolk, USA
- Frangiadakis, N., Câmara, D., Filali, F., Loureiro, A. A. F. & Roussopoulos, N. (2008, February), Virtual access points for vehicular networks, Mobilware 2008, 1st International Conference on MOBILE Wireless MiddleWARE, Operating Systems, and Applications, ACM, Innsbruck, Austria.
- Haerri, J., Bonnet, C., Filali, F., (2007, May), Kinetic graphs: a framework for capturing the dynamics of mobile structures in MANET, EURECOM, Rapport de recherche RR-07-195.
- Harras, K. & Almeroth, K. (2006, May), Transport Layer Issues in Delay Tolerant Mobile Networks, IFIP Networking, Coimbra, Portugal.
- Intelligent Car (2009), Intelligent Car | Europa - Information Society, Retrieved October 18,

2009, from http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/activities/intelligentcar/index_en.htm.

IPNRG (2009), InterPlanetary Internet Special Interest Group, Retrieved October 18, 2009, from <http://www.ipnsig.org/home.htm>.

IRTF (2009), IRTF-Internet Research Task Force, Retrieved October 18, 2009, from <http://www.irtf.org/>.

Jain, S., Fall, K. & Patra, R. (2004, August), Routing in a Delay Tolerant Network, ACM, SIGCOMM'04, Portland, Oregon, USA.

Jones, E. P. C. (2006), Practical Routing in Delay-Tolerant Networks, Master thesis, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

Liu, C. & Wu J. (2007, September), Scalable Routing in Delay Tolerant Networks, ACM MobiHoc'07, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Mundur, P. & Seligman, M. (2008, May), Delay Tolerant Network Routing: Beyond Epidemic Routing, Proc. of ISWPC, Santorini, Greece.

Nandan, A., Das, S., Pau, G., Sanadidi, M.Y. & Gerla, M. (2005, January), Cooperative Downloading in Vehicular Ad Hoc Wireless Networks } , Proceedings of IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Wireless On demand Network Systems and Services , St. Moritz, Switzerland.

Niyato, D., Wang, P., & Teo, C. M. (2009, April), Performance analysis of the vehicular delay tolerant network, Proc. IEEE WCNC'09, Budapest, Hungary.

Ramanathan, R., Hansen, R., Basu, P., Rosales-Hain, R., and Krishnan, R. (2007, June). Prioritized epidemic routing for opportunistic networks. In Proceedings of the 1st international Mobisys Workshop on Mobile Opportunistic Networking, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Sanchez, H. C, Franck, L. & Beylot, A. (2007, Novembre), Routing metrics in Delay Tolerant Networks, Rapport de recherche, IRIT/RR--2007-22--FR, Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, Retrieved October 18, 2009, from <http://www.enseeiht.fr/~beylot/IRITBeylot6.pdf>

Shah, R., Roy, S., Jain, S. & Brunette, W. (2003), Data mules: Modeling a three tier architecture for sparse sensor networks, IEEE Sensor Network Protocols and Applications.

Shen, J., Moh, S. & Chung, I. (2008), Routing Protocols in Delay Tolerant Networks: A Comparative Survey, The 23rd International Technical Conference on Circuits/Systems, Computers and Communications, Kaikyo Messe Shimonoseki, Shimonoseki City, Yamaguchi-Pref., Japan.

Small T. & Haas Z. J. (2003), The Shared Wireless Infostation Model—A New Ad Hoc Networking Paradigm (or Where there is aWhale, there is aWay). In Proceedings of ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing (MOBIHOC), pp. 233–244.

Small, T. & Haas, Z. J. (2005, August), Resource and Performance Tradeoffs in Delay-Tolerant Wireless Networks, ACM, SIGCOMM'05 workshop on Delay Tolerant Networking and Related Topics (WDTN), pp. 260–267, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Spyropoulos, T., Psounis K., Raghavendra C.S. (2006) Performance analysis of mobility-assisted routing. In Proceedings of MobiHoc'06, ACM.

Tariq, M. , Ammar, M. & Zegura, E. (2006, May), Message Ferry Route Design for Sparse Ad hoc Networks with Mobile Nodes, ACM Mobihoc 2006, Florence. Italy.

Vahdat, A. & Becker, D. (2000), Epidemic routing for partially connected ad hoc networks, Technical Report CS-2000-06, Duke University

Wang, Y. & Wu H. (2006), DFT-MSN: The Delay Fault Tolerant Mobile Sensor Network for Pervasive Information Gathering. In Proceedings of Twenty-fifth Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOM).

Wang, Y., Dang, H. & Wu, H. (2007), A survey on analytic studies of Delay-Tolerant Mobile Sensor Networks, *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.* 2007; 7:1197–1208 Published online 16 May 2007 in Wiley InterScience.

Wang, Y., Wu, H. & Dang, H. (2007, September), Delay/Fault-Tolerant Mobile Sensor Network (DFT-MSN): A New Paradigm for Pervasive Information Gathering, *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, Vol. 6, NO. 9.

Xu, Q., Mark, T., Ko, J. & Sengupta, R. (2004), Vehicle-to-Vehicle Safety Messaging in DSRC, in Proc. of ACM VANET, 2004

Yang, X., Liu, J., Zhao, F., & Vaidya, N. (2004, August), A Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication Protocol for Cooperative Collision Warning, in Proc. of ACM MOBIQUITOUS.

Zonoozi, M. & Dassanayake, P (1997), User Mobility Modeling and Characterization of Mobility Patterns. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 1997; 15(7): 1239–1252.